

## The ambient homeomorphy of certain function and sequence spaces

JAN J. DIJKSTRA, JERZY MOGILSKI

*Abstract.* In this paper we consider a number of sequence and function spaces that are known to be homeomorphic to the countable product of the linear space  $\sigma$ . The spaces we are interested in have a canonical imbedding in both a topological Hilbert space and a Hilbert cube. It turns out that when we consider these spaces as subsets of a Hilbert cube then there is only one topological type. For imbeddings in the countable product of lines there are two types depending on whether the space is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compactum or not.

*Keywords:* Hilbert space, Hilbert cube,  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber, ambient homeomorphism, function space,  $p$ -summable sequence

*Classification:* 57N20

### 1. Introduction

The focus of our investigation are so-called  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in topological Hilbert spaces and Hilbert cubes ( $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  stands for the class of all absolute  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets).  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers are the “maximal” elements for that Borel class. The standard example of an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber is the subset  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  in the product space  $s^{\mathbf{N}}$ , where  $s = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$  and

$$\sigma = \{x \in s : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary countable completely regular space that is not discrete. Let  $C_p(X)$  stand for the subset of the product space  $\mathbf{R}^X$  consisting of the continuous functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbf{R}$ . It was shown by Dobrowolski, Marciszewski and Mogilski [7], [4] that  $C_p(X)$  is a generalized  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber (and hence homeomorphic to  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ ) whenever  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ . Jan van Mill proved essentially in [11] that the pair  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  is homeomorphic to  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$  provided that  $X$  is a metrizable space that is not locally compact. This led Dobrowolski and Mogilski [8, 6.11] to ask the following question: is  $(s, c_0)$  (or, equivalently, is  $(\mathbf{R}^{\widehat{\mathbf{N}}}, C_p(\widehat{\mathbf{N}}))$ ) homeomorphic to  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ , where

$$c_0 = \{x \in s : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0\}$$

and  $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}$  is the convergent sequence? The answer to this question is no because  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  contains a copy of Hilbert space that is closed in  $s^{\mathbf{N}}$  where as  $c_0$  is contained in the  $\sigma$ -compactum  $\Sigma$  consisting of the bounded sequences in  $s$ . We investigate the natural extension of the question to: for which  $X$  is  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  homeomorphic to  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ ?

**Theorem 1.1.** *The pairs  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  and  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$  are homeomorphic if and only if  $X$  is not compact and  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ .*

If  $p \in (0, \infty)$  let  $l_p$  be the subset of  $s$  consisting of the  $p$ -summable sequences. Put  $\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap_{q > p} l_q$  for  $p \in [0, \infty)$ . It was shown by Dobrowolski and Mogilski [9], [4] that every  $\tilde{l}_p$  is homeomorphic to  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  but it is easily seen that  $\tilde{l}_p$  is not an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $s$ . We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $X$  is compact and  $p \in [0, \infty)$  then  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  and  $(s, \tilde{l}_p)$  are homeomorphic to  $(s, c_0)$ .*

Consider the canonical compactifications  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$  of  $s$  and  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , where  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$ . Throughout this paper the Hilbert cube  $Q$  is represented by  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N}}$  and its pseudointerior  $s$  by  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ . In the Hilbert cube the distinction between the two types of imbeddings disappears:

**Theorem 1.3.** *If  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  and if  $p \in [0, \infty)$  then  $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, C_p(X))$  and  $(Q, \tilde{l}_p)$  are both homeomorphic to  $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ .*

## 2. Absorbing systems

The material in this section has been taken from the papers [5] and [6]. For background information on infinite-dimensional topology see Bessaga and Pełczyński [2] or van Mill [12].

Throughout this section let  $E$  denote either a topological Hilbert space or Hilbert cube. Let  $\Gamma$  be a fixed index set. A collection  $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of subsets of the space  $E$  (formally the pair  $(E, \mathcal{X})$ ) is called a  $Z$ -system if  $\bigcup\{X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is contained in a  $\sigma Z$ -set of  $E$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$ . We say that a  $Z$ -system  $(E, \mathcal{X})$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in ( $\Delta$ -homeomorphic to) a  $Z$ -system  $(E', \mathcal{Y})$  if there exists a closed imbedding (homeomorphism)  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  such that  $f^{-1}(Y_\gamma) = X_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . The map  $f$  is called a  $\Delta$ -imbedding ( $\Delta$ -homeomorphism). If  $\Delta = \Gamma$  then we simply say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is imbeddable in (homeomorphic to)  $\mathcal{Y}$ . (Maps are assumed to be continuous.)

A  $Z$ -system  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *reflexively universal* if for every map  $f : E \rightarrow E$  that restricts to a  $Z$ -imbedding on some closed set  $K \subset E$ , there exists a  $Z$ -imbedding  $g : E \rightarrow E$  that can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $f$  with the properties:  $g|K = f|K$  and  $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = X_\gamma \setminus K$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A  $Z$ -system  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *reflexively universal rel  $P$*  (a subset of  $E$ ) if for every map  $f : E \rightarrow E$  that restricts to a  $Z$ -imbedding on some closed set  $K \subset E$ , there exists a  $Z$ -imbedding  $g : E \rightarrow E$  that can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $f$  with the properties:  $g|K = f|K$ ,  $g(E \setminus K) \subset P$ , and  $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = X_\gamma \setminus K$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . In our applications  $P$  is usually the pseudointerior  $s$  of  $Q$ .

These notions come together in the following result (see [5, Theorem 2.1] and [6, Theorem 2.1]).

**Theorem 2.1.**

- (a) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be reflexively universal  $Z$ -systems in  $E$  respectively  $E'$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $\mathcal{X}$  then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\Delta$ -homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be reflexively universal rel  $s$  in  $Q$  and assume that  $\bigcup_{\gamma} X_{\gamma}$  and  $\bigcup_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$  are contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $s$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $\mathcal{X}$  then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\Delta$ -homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{Y}$  via a homeomorphism that preserves  $s$ .

PROOF: We prove part (b). The proof for (a) is essentially the same. Let  $\bigcup_{\gamma} X_{\gamma} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma} \subset \bigcup_i A_i$  and let  $B = Q \setminus s = \bigcup_i B_i$ , where  $\emptyset = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset s$  and  $\emptyset = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$  are sequences of  $Z$ -sets in  $Q$ . By induction we shall construct sequences of homeomorphisms  $f_i : Q \rightarrow Q$  and  $g_i = f_i \circ \dots \circ f_0$  with the properties (for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \cap X_{\gamma} &= A_i \cap g_i^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}), \\ A_i \cap g_i(X_{\gamma}) &= A_i \cap Y_{\gamma}, \\ g_i(B) &= B, \\ f_i|_{(g_{i-1}(A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1}) \cup A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1})} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where 1 denotes the identity map. Put  $f_0 = 1$ .

Assume that  $f_i$  has been constructed. Put  $K = g_i(A_i) \cup A_i$  and observe that  $g_i(X_{\gamma}) \cap K = Y_{\gamma} \cap K$ . Let  $p : Q \rightarrow Q$  be a  $\Delta$ -imbedding of the system  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then the inverse of  $p \circ g_i^{-1}$  is defined on a closed subset of  $Q$  and can therefore be extended to a map  $r : Q \rightarrow Q$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is reflexively universal rel  $s$  and  $K$  is a subset of  $s$  we can approximate  $r$  by a  $Z$ -imbedding  $\tilde{r} : Q \rightarrow s$  with the properties  $\tilde{r}^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) = Y_{\gamma}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$  and  $\tilde{r}$  coincides with  $r$  on  $p \circ g_i^{-1}(K)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the  $Z$ -imbedding  $\tilde{r} \circ p \circ g_i^{-1}$  and note that  $\alpha$  fixes  $K$  and that it has the property  $\alpha^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) = g_i(X_{\gamma})$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . Observe that  $\alpha|_{g_i(A_{i+1}) \cup A_i}$  is a homeomorphism between compacta in  $s$  and hence it can be extended to a homeomorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of  $Q$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\tilde{\alpha}(g_i(B)) = B$  and  $\alpha|_{g_i(B_i) \cup B_i} = 1$ . This homeomorphism satisfies in addition:

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) \cap g_i(A_{i+1}) = g_i(X_{\gamma} \cap A_{i+1}).$$

By a similar argument we can find a homeomorphism  $\tilde{\beta}$  of  $Q$  that fixes the set  $\tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(A_{i+1} \cup B_{i+1}) \cup A_i \cup B_i$  and that has the properties  $\tilde{\beta}(B) = \tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(B)$  and

$$\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(X_{\gamma})) \cap A_{i+1} = Y_{\gamma} \cap A_{i+1}.$$

If we put  $f_{i+1} = \tilde{\beta}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\alpha}$  then one can easily verify the induction hypothesis for  $i + 1$ . Since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  and hence  $f_{i+1}$  can be chosen arbitrarily close to the identity we may assume that  $h = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$  is a homeomorphism of  $Q$ . The function  $h$

maps  $X_\gamma$  onto  $Y_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$  and it maps the pseudoboundary  $B$  onto itself. □

A subset  $A$  is *locally homotopy negligible* in  $X$  if for every map  $f : M \rightarrow X$  from an absolute neighborhood retract  $M$  and for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists a homotopy  $h : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\{h(\{x\} \times [0, 1])\}_{x \in M}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $h(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $h(M \times (0, 1)) \subset X \setminus A$ . A  $\sigma\mathbb{Z}$ -set and the complement of a capset or fd-capset is always locally homotopy negligible.

For a space  $X$  and  $* \in X$  we define the weak cartesian product

$$W(X, *) = \{x \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : x_i = * \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

The following lemma is essentially [5, Lemma 6.2] and [6, Proposition 3.6].

**Lemma 2.2.**

- (a) Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a system in  $E$  such that  $E \setminus \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  is locally homotopy negligible in  $E$  and let  $* \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ . Assume that there exists a homeomorphism  $\Phi : E \rightarrow E^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying

$$W(X_\gamma, *) \subset \Phi(X_\gamma) \subset X_\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is reflexively universal.

- (b) Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a system in  $Q$  such that  $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  is a subset of  $s$  whose complement is locally homotopy negligible in  $Q$  and let  $* \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ . Assume that  $(Q, \mathcal{X})$  has a  $\Gamma$ -imbedding into itself whose image is contained in  $s$ . If there exists a homeomorphism  $\Phi : E \rightarrow E^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $s^{\mathbb{N}} \subset \Phi(s)$  and

$$W(X_\gamma, *) \subset \Phi(X_\gamma) \subset X_\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $\mathcal{X}$  is reflexively universal rel  $s$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be an ordered set and let  $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$  be a collection of spaces for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Each  $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$  is assumed to be *topological* and *closed hereditary*. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  stand for the whole system  $(\mathcal{M}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be an order preserving indexed collection of subsets of a topological Hilbert cube (Hilbert space)  $E$ , i.e.  $X_\gamma \subset X_{\gamma'}$  if and only if  $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ .

The system  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  *$\mathcal{M}$ -universal* if for every order preserving system  $(A_\gamma)_\gamma$  in  $E$  such that  $A_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there is a closed imbedding  $f : E \rightarrow E$  with  $f^{-1}(X_\gamma) = A_\gamma$ . The system  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal rel  $P \subset E$*  if for every order preserving system  $(A_\gamma)_\gamma$  in  $E$  such that  $A_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and for every map  $f : E \rightarrow E$  that restricts to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -imbedding on some compact set  $K$ , there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -imbedding  $g : E \rightarrow E$  that can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $f$  with the properties:  $g|K = f|K$ ,  $g(E \setminus K) \subset P$ , and  $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = A_\gamma \setminus K$  for every  $\gamma$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal rel  $E$  in  $E$  then it is simply called

strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal. Observe that  $X$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal (rel  $P$ ) whenever  $X$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal and reflexively universal (rel  $P$ ). If  $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$  then the converse is also true.

The system  $X$  is called  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing (rel  $P$ ) if

- (1)  $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\bigcup\{X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -system of  $E$ , and
- (3)  $X$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal (rel  $P$ ).

The following uniqueness result follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.**

- (a) If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are both  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing systems in  $E$  respectively  $E'$  then  $(E, \mathcal{X})$  and  $(E', \mathcal{Y})$  are homeomorphic, i.e. there is a homeomorphism  $h : E \rightarrow E'$  such that  $h(X_\gamma) = Y_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $E = E'$  then the map  $h$  can be found arbitrarily close to the identity.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are both  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing systems rel  $s$  in  $Q$  and  $\bigcup_\gamma (X_\gamma \cup Y_\gamma)$  is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compactum of  $s$ , then  $(Q, s, \mathcal{X})$  and  $(Q, s, \mathcal{Y})$  are homeomorphic, i.e. the homeomorphism  $h$  maps the pseudointerior onto itself.

If the absorbing system consists of just one element  $X$  then we say that  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorber. A *capset* is an absorber for the class of compacta. The standard examples of capsets are  $\Sigma$  in  $s$  and  $Q$  and the pseudoboundary  $B = Q \setminus s$  in  $Q$ . An *fd-capset* is an absorber for the class of finite-dimensional compacta. Standard examples are  $\sigma$  in  $s$  and  $Q$  and

$$l_f^p = \{x \in l^p : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}$$

in the Banach space  $l^p$ . The examples of  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers are  $\Sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  in  $s^{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

We finish this section with a few useful lemmas. The first concerns  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbeddings (see [6, Lemma 3.2]). Let  $I$  denote the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions from a space  $X$  into the space  $E$ . Let  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow I$  be a map and let  $d$  be a metric on  $E$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close (i.e.  $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \varepsilon(x)$  for  $x \in X$ ) and  $\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(f(x), f(\varepsilon^{-1}(0)))$  for  $x \in X$ . If  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbedding and  $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbedding for each  $\delta > 0$  then  $g$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbedding.*

Recall that since maps into  $E$  can be approximated by  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbeddings we have that if  $f : X \rightarrow E$  and  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow I$  are continuous maps then there is a  $g : X \rightarrow I$  that is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$  and with the property  $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -imbedding for each  $\delta > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing system in the pseudointerior of the Hilbert cube  $Q$  then it is also an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbing system in  $Q$ .*

PROOF: We only need to look at strong  $\mathcal{M}$ -universality. Let  $f$  be a map from  $Q$  to  $Q$ ,  $A_\gamma$  an order preserving system from  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $Q$ , and let  $K$  be a closed subset

in  $Q$ . We may assume that  $f$  is a  $Z$ -imbedding with the property  $f(Q \setminus K) \subset s$ . Let  $d$  be some metric on  $Q$ , let  $d'$  be a complete metric on  $s$  with  $d' \geq d$ , and let  $\varepsilon : Q \rightarrow I$  be an arbitrary map that satisfies the conditions  $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$  and  $\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(f(x), f(K))$  for each  $x \in Q$ . Define the compacta  $K_i = \varepsilon([0, 2^{-i+1}])$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We shall construct inductively a sequence  $g_i : Q \setminus K \rightarrow s$  of  $Z$ -imbeddings with induction hypothesis:

$$g_i^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K_{i+1} = A_\gamma \setminus K_{i+1}.$$

Put  $g_0 = f|_{Q \setminus K}$  and assume that  $g_i$  has been found. Since we can imbed  $Q \setminus K$  as a closed subset of  $s$  and since  $A_\gamma \setminus \text{int}(K_{i+2}) \in \mathcal{M}$  the strong universality of the system in  $s$  implies that we can find a  $Z$ -imbedding  $g_{i+1} : Q \setminus K \rightarrow s$  that is  $(\varepsilon 2^{-i-1})$ -close to  $g_i$  with respect to  $d'$  and with the additional properties:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i+1}^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K_{i+2} &= A_\gamma \setminus K_{i+2}, \\ g_{i+1}|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}} &= g_i|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g_i$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $d'$  we have that  $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$  exists. Obviously,  $\tilde{g} = g \cup (f|_K)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$ . Since  $\tilde{g}|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}} = g_i|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}}$  we have that  $\tilde{g}|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a  $Z$ -imbedding for every  $\delta > 0$ . This means that according to Lemma 2.4  $\tilde{g}$  is a  $Z$ -imbedding. One easily verifies that  $\tilde{g}^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = A_\gamma \setminus K$  for every  $\gamma$ . □

The following lemma is a reformulation of [5, Lemma 6.4] with an identical proof.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $\mathcal{X}$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal rel  $P$  in  $Q$  and  $Y$  is a subset of a compact absolute retract  $M$  with a locally homotopy negligible complement, then  $(X_\gamma \times Y)_\gamma$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal rel  $P \times Y$  in  $Q \times M$ .*

### 3. Function spaces in the topology of pointwise convergence

In this section we prove the  $C_p(X)$  parts of the theorems in the introduction. We first consider spaces with only one accumulation point, which leads us to free filters on the set  $\mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$  stand for the Fréchet filter on  $\mathbf{N}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}} = \{A \subset \mathbf{N} : \mathbf{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite}\}$ . Throughout this section let  $\mathfrak{F}$  stand for an arbitrary filter on  $\mathbf{N}$  that is free, i.e. it contains  $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$ . Define the following subspaces of  $s = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathfrak{F}} &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \lim_{\mathfrak{F}} x = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ with } |x_a| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F\} \end{aligned}$$

and for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$X_n(\mathfrak{F}) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \exists F \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ such that } |x_a| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ for all } a \in F\}.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{X} = (X_n)_n$  is a decreasing sequence of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$  with the property that its intersection is  $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{F} \neq \mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$  and  $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is absolute Borel then the system  $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{F})$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ -universal (and hence  $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal) in  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ .*

PROOF: We shall use the following fact: if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ -absorber in  $Q$  and  $A'$  is a  $\sigma Z$ -set then for every  $\sigma$ -compactum  $C$  in  $Q$  there is an imbedding  $f : Q \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f^{-1}(A) = C$  and  $f(Q \setminus C) \cap A' = \emptyset$  (cf. [5, Proposition 6.1]).

Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not the Fréchet filter we may choose an infinite set  $N_0 \subset \mathbf{N}$  whose complement is in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . According to Lutzer and McCoy [10] there exists a partition  $\{P_{ijk} : i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of  $\mathbf{N} \setminus N_0$  consisting of finite sets such that for every  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  there is a  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  with

$$F \cap P_{ijk} \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i \text{ and } k.$$

Put  $N_i = \bigcup_{j,k=1}^{\infty} P_{ijk}$  and for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  define the Hilbert cube  $Q_i = [-2^{-i+1}, 2^{-i+1}]^{N_i}$ . For  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $\pi_{ijk}$  be the projection from  $Q_i$  onto the finite-dimensional cell  $Z_{ijk} = [-2^{-i+1}, 2^{-i+1}]^{P_{ijk}}$ . It is easily verified with the capset characterization theorem in Curtis [3] that

$$C_i = \{x \in Q_i : \exists k \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } |x_a| \leq 2^{k-a} \text{ for all } a \in N_i\}$$

is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ -absorber in  $Q_i$ . Observe that for every  $x \in C_i$  we have  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x_a = 0$ . Since  $P_{ijk}$  is finite the set

$$B_{ijk} = \{x \in Z_{ijk} : |x_a| \leq 2^{-i} \text{ for some } a \in P_{ijk}\}$$

is compact for every  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$ . By infinite deficiency the compactum  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{ijk}^{-1}(B_{ijk})$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q_i$  and hence

$$D_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{ijk}^{-1}(B_{ijk})$$

is a  $\sigma Z$ -set.

Let  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$  be a sequence of  $\sigma$ -compacta in  $Q$ . Let  $f_0 : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N_0}$  be a homeomorphism and let  $f_i : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow Q_i$  ( $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ) be an imbedding such that  $f_i^{-1}(C_i) = A_i$  and  $f_i(Q_i \setminus A_i)$  does not meet  $D_i$ . Consider the closed imbedding

$$f = (f_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N_0} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \subset \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Let  $x \in A_n$ . If  $i > n$  then we have  $f_i(x) \in Q_i$  and hence all components of  $f_i(x)$  are in  $[-2^{-n}, 2^{-n}]$ . If  $i \leq n$  then we have  $x \in A_i$  and hence  $f_i(x) \in C_i$ . Note that only finitely many components of  $f_i(x)$  are outside  $[-2^{-n}, 2^{-n}]$  and hence  $|f(x)_a| > 2^{-n}$  for only finitely many  $a$  in  $\mathbf{N} \setminus N_0$ . This means that  $f(x)$  is an element of  $X_n(\mathfrak{F})$ . If  $x \notin A_n$  then we have  $f_n(x) \notin D_n$ . If  $F$  is an arbitrary

element of  $\mathfrak{F}$  then there is a  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $F$  meets  $P_{njk}$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Observe that if  $f_n(x) \notin D_n$  then  $f_n(x) \notin \pi_{njk}^{-1}(B_{njk})$  for some  $k$ . Consequently, we have  $|f_n(x)_a| > 2^{-n}$  for all  $a \in P_{njk}$ . Since  $F$  and  $P_{njk}$  have at least one  $a$  in common we find that  $f(x) \notin X_n(\mathfrak{F})$ . So we may conclude that  $f^{-1}(X_n(\mathfrak{F})) = A_n$ .  $\square$

The following observation is essentially due to R. Cauty:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $(L_\gamma)_\gamma$  is a system of linear subspaces of a Fréchet space  $E$  such that  $\bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$  is dense then we have:*

- (a) *The system  $(L_\gamma \times E)_\gamma$  is reflexively universal in  $E \times E$ .*
- (b) *If  $E$  is the pseudointerior  $s$  then the system  $(L_\gamma \times Q)_\gamma$  is reflexively universal in  $Q \times Q$ .*

PROOF: We prove part (a); the proof for (b) is similar. Let  $f = (f_1, f_2) : E \times E \rightarrow E \times E$  be a  $Z$ -embedding and let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $E \times E$ . Select an  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $E$  and let  $d$  be the metric on  $E \times E$  that corresponds with the max norm. Let  $\varepsilon : E \times E \rightarrow I$  be a map such that  $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$  and  $\varepsilon(x) \leq d(f(x), f(K))/4$ . Since  $\bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$  is a dense linear subspace its complement is locally homotopy negligible (see [2, Proposition VIII.3.2]) and we can find a map  $\tilde{f}_1 : E \times E \rightarrow \bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$  that is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f_1$ . Select now a continuous  $\xi : E \times E \rightarrow I$  such that  $\xi^{-1}(0) = K$  and  $\|\xi(x.y)x\| \leq \varepsilon(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in E \times E$ . Observe that the map  $g_1 : E \times E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$g_1(x, y) = \tilde{f}_1(x, y) + \xi(x, y)x$$

is  $2\varepsilon$ -close to  $f_1$  and has the property  $g_1^{-1}(L_\gamma) \setminus K = (L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K$ . Select a map  $g_2 : E \times E \rightarrow E$  such that  $g_2$  and  $f_2$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close,  $g_2|_K = f_2|_K$ , and  $g_2|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a  $Z$ -embedding for each  $\delta > 0$ . Put  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  and note that this map is a  $Z$ -embedding according to Lemma 2.4. The map  $g$  is  $2\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$  and it has the property  $g^{-1}(L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K = (L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K$ .  $\square$

*Throughout the remainder of this section let  $X$  stand for an arbitrary nondiscrete, completely regular, countably infinite space.*

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $X$  is not compact then  $C_p(X)$  is reflexively universal in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .*

PROOF: This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2. Choose an infinite closed discrete subspace  $A$  of  $X$ . Then  $C_p(X)$  is canonically isomorphic in  $\mathbf{R}^X$  to the product of  $C_p(A) = \mathbf{R}^A$  and  $C_p(X; A) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f|_A = 0\}$ : if  $r : X \rightarrow A$  is a retraction then

$$\alpha(f) = (f|_A, f - (f|_A) \circ r) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbf{R}^X$$

defines a linear homeomorphism with the required property.  $\square$

A similar argument shows that if  $X$  is not compact then  $C_p(X)$  is also reflexively universal in  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$ . Since we already showed in [5] that  $C_p(X)$  is reflexively universal in  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$  for every metric  $X$  we have that  $C_p(X)$  is reflexively universal in the Hilbert cube for every  $X$ .



**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $X$  is not compact and  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  then  $C_p(X)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .*

PROOF: We use the method of Dobrowolski, Marciszewski and Mogilski [7]. It is shown in that paper that  $C_p(X)$  if it is Borel is contained in a  $\sigma Z$ -set. We have the following two cases:

I. The space  $X$  does not contain a clopen subset with precisely one accumulation point. Then  $X$  can be written as a topological sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  of nondiscrete spaces and hence  $C_p(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C_p(X_i)$  ([7, Proposition 6.1]). According to the proof of [7, Lemma 5.4] the pair  $(s, \sigma)$  is imbeddable in each  $(\mathbf{R}^{X_i}, C_p(X_i))$ . This means that  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$  is imbeddable in  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  and hence  $C_p(X)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .

II. The space  $X$  has a clopen subset  $A$  with a unique accumulation point  $a$ . Since  $X$  is not compact we may select an infinite closed discrete subset  $C$ . Put  $D = A \cup C$  and note that since  $A$  is clopen and  $C$  is closed and discrete, there is a retraction  $r : X \rightarrow D$ . The neighborhoods of  $a$  form a free filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $\bar{D} = D \setminus \{a\}$  that is not the Fréchet filter. If  $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{D}}$  then let  $\bar{f} : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the extension of  $f$  with  $\bar{f}(a) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha(f) = \bar{f} \circ r$  defines a closed imbedding of  $(\mathbf{R}^{\bar{D}}, c_{\mathfrak{F}})$  into  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ . Since the first pair is  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal (Proposition 3.1), so is the second.

It follows from Proposition 3.3 that  $C_p(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal (and hence  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbing) in  $\mathbf{R}^X$  for every non-compact  $X$ . Observe that we did not need the condition  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  to show strong  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality, just that  $C_p(X)$  is Borel. □

This proposition implies that the pairs  $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  and  $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$  are homeomorphic whenever  $X$  is not compact and  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ . This is one direction of Theorem 1.1.

The other direction is easily seen: if  $X$  is compact then  $C_p(X)$  is contained in the  $\sigma$ -compactum consisting of the bounded elements of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . Therefore  $C_p(X)$  cannot contain a copy of Hilbert space that is closed in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  contains a copy of  $s$  that is closed in  $s^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

If we combine Proposition 3.4 with Lemma 2.5 and the fact that  $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, C_p(X))$  was shown to be  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbing for metric  $X$  in [5] we find:

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  then it is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$ .*

This result was found independently by Baars, Gladdines and van Mill [1]. Combining Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 2.3 we find half of Theorem 1.3.

We now turn to the case of compact  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *The space  $c_0$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel  $s$  in  $Q$ .*

PROOF: According to [5, Theorem 6.3]  $c_0$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q$  so it suffices to show that  $c_0$  is reflexively universal rel  $s$  in  $Q$ . We use Lemma 2.2(b): if

$\Phi : Q \rightarrow Q^{\mathbf{N}} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}}$  is a map that simply rearranges coordinates then it obviously satisfies the conditions of part (b) of the lemma with  $*$  = 0. Also  $c_0$  contains  $\sigma$  so it has a locally homotopy negligible complement.

We now define the imbedding  $\alpha$  of  $(Q, c_0)$  into  $(s, c_0)$ . Let  $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a homeomorphism with  $\pi(0) = 0$ . If we define for every  $x \in Q$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \pi(x_n),$$

$$\alpha(x)_{2n} = 2^{-n} \min \left\{ 2^n, \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |x_i| \right\},$$

then  $\alpha$  is obviously an imbedding of  $Q$  into  $[-1, 1]^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

First, let  $x \notin c_0$ . If  $x \in s$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x_n) \neq 0$  and hence  $\alpha(x) \notin c_0$ . If, on the other hand,  $x_i = \pm\infty$  for some  $i$  then  $\alpha(x)_{2n} = 1$  for every  $n \geq i$  and also  $\alpha(x) \notin c_0$ .

Now, let  $x \in c_0$  and note  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \pi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = 0$ . Define the finite number  $M = \max_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_i$  and observe that  $0 \leq \alpha(x)_{2n} \leq M2^{-n}$  for every  $n$ . Consequently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_n = 0$  and  $\alpha(x) \in c_0$ . So we may conclude that  $\alpha^{-1}(c_0) = c_0$ . All the conditions of Lemma 2.2 (b) are now satisfied and the proposition is proved. □

The following result follows from Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 3.6. Its proof is identical to the proof of [5, Theorem 6.5]. (Note that a compact  $X$  is metrizable and hence  $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ .)

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $X$  is compact then  $C_p(X)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel  $\mathbf{R}^X$  in  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$ .*

Applying Theorem 2.3 (b) we find:

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $X$  is compact then  $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, \mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$  is homeomorphic to  $(Q, s, c_0)$ .*

This proves the  $C_p(X)$  part of Theorem 1.2.

#### 4. Sequence spaces

We prove the  $l_p$  part of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Let  $p$  be an arbitrary positive real number and define the following function from  $Q$  into  $[0, \infty]$ :

$$|x|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The subspace  $l_p$  consists of all  $x$  in  $Q$  (or  $s$ ) with  $|x|_p < \infty$ . Since the expression  $|x|_p$  is nonincreasing as a function of  $p$  we have  $l_p \subset l_q$  whenever  $p < q$ . So we

have an ordered system with index set  $(0, \infty)$ . Our objective is to show that the spaces

$$\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap_{q>p} l_q \quad p \in [0, \infty)$$

are  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in  $Q$ . Since these spaces are contained in the  $\sigma$ -compactum  $\Sigma \subset s$  they cannot be  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in  $s$ . For this reason we shall use the Hilbert cube as ambient space rather than  $s$  (cf. the case with compact  $X$  in Section 3).

We need some definitions. If  $A$  is a countable infinite set then we define the following subspaces of the Hilbert cube  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ : the capset

$$\Sigma'(A) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A : \exists M \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } |x_a| < M \text{ for all but finitely many } a \in A\}$$

and the fd-capset

$$\sigma'(A) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A : x_a = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } a \in A\}.$$

In the standard model  $Q$  we put  $\Sigma' = \Sigma(\mathbf{N})$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma(\mathbf{N})$ . The sets  $\Sigma'$  and  $\sigma'$  are of course topologically equivalent in  $Q$  to  $\Sigma$  respectively  $\sigma$ . Unlike  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma$  they have the following property: if  $x, y \in Q$  differ at only finitely many coordinates then we have  $x \in \Sigma'$  (or  $\sigma'$ ) if and only if  $y \in \Sigma'$  (or  $\sigma'$ ). This makes  $\Sigma'$  and  $\sigma'$  a superior choice *when the ambient space is a Hilbert cube*.

It is well known that  $l_p$  is a capset, i.e. the pair  $(Q, l_p)$  is homeomorphic to the pairs  $(Q, \Sigma')$ ,  $(Q \times Q, Q \times \Sigma')$ , and  $(Q \times Q, Q \times \sigma')$ . The idea is to establish a connection between the system  $l_p$  and systems that find their origin in the topological product structure of the Hilbert cube. This leads to the following definitions. If  $A$  is a countable dense subset of the interval  $(0, \infty)$  and  $p$  is a positive real number then

$$Z_p = Z_p(A) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \Sigma'((p, \infty) \cap A) \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$$

and

$$\zeta_p = \zeta_p(A) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \sigma'((p, \infty) \cap A) \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A.$$

Both  $(Z_p)_p$  and  $(\zeta_p)_p$  are ordered systems of capsets. Our objective is to show that the systems  $l_p$ ,  $\zeta_p$ , and  $Z_p$  are in essence topologically indistinguishable, a result that has the claims made in the introduction as immediate corollaries.

Throughout this section let  $A$  be a countable dense subset of  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  enumerate  $A$  and let the product topology on  $\mathbf{R}^A$  be generated by the metric

$$d(x, y) = \max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{2n} |\xi(x_{a_n}) - \xi(y_{a_n})|,$$

where  $\xi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  is a fixed homeomorphism with the property  $\xi(0) = 0$ . Note that if  $x, y \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  have their first  $n$  coordinates in common then their distance is at most  $1/(n + 1)$ .

The following statement is obvious.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The collections  $(Z_p)_p$ ,  $(\zeta_p)_p$  and  $(l_p)_p$  are Z-systems in  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  respectively  $Q$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *The systems  $Z_p$  and  $\zeta_p$  are reflexively universal.*

PROOF: This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2. Let  $f : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  be a map that restricts to a Z-embedding on a closed set  $K$ . We may assume that  $f$  itself is a Z-embedding. Let  $\varepsilon : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow I$  be a map such that  $\varepsilon(x) \leq d(f(x), f(K))/2$  for  $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$ . Let  $A_2$  be a sequence in  $A$  that converges to 0 and put  $A_1 = A \setminus A_2$ . Let  $\pi_i : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_i}$  stand for the projection and put  $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$ . Select a map  $g_2 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_2}$  that is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f_2$  and with the property that  $g_2|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a Z-embedding for each  $\delta > 0$ . Select also a map  $\tilde{f}_1 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$  that is  $(\varepsilon/2)$ -close to  $f_1$  and that maps the complement of  $K$  into the fd-capset  $\sigma'(A_1)$ . Define for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  the continuous map  $\chi_n : I \rightarrow I$  by

$$\chi_n(r) = \min\{1, \max\{0, rn - 1\}\}.$$

Observe that  $\chi_n(0) = 0$  and that

$$\chi_n(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } rn \leq 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } rn \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We now define the map  $g_1 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$  by

$$g_1(x)_{a_n} = \tilde{f}_1(x)_{a_n} + \xi^{-1}(\chi_n(\varepsilon(x)/2)\xi(x_{a_n}))$$

for  $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  and  $a_n \in A_1$ , where we used the fact that addition is well defined and continuous from  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R}$  to  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ . Put  $g = (g_1, g_2) : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ .

Let  $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ . If  $x \in K$  then we have  $\varepsilon(x) = 0$  and hence  $\chi_n(\varepsilon(x)/2) = 0$ . This means that  $g_1(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) = f_1(x)$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$ . If  $x \notin K$  then  $\varepsilon(x) > 0$  and we can select an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n\varepsilon(x)/2 \leq 1 < (n + 1)\varepsilon(x)/2$ . The properties of  $\chi$  guarantee that  $g_{a_i}(x) = f_{a_i}(x)$  for each  $i \leq n$  with  $a_i \in A_1$ . This means that the distance between  $g_1(x)$  and  $f_1(x)$  is at most  $1/(n + 1) < \varepsilon(x)/2$ . Consequently,  $g$  and  $f$  are  $\varepsilon$ -close. Observe that  $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$  is a Z-embedding for each  $\delta > 0$  since  $g_2$  has that property and hence Lemma 2.4 guarantees that  $g$  is a Z-embedding.

Consider now an  $x \notin K$ . Choose an  $n$  with the properties  $n\varepsilon(x) \geq 4$  and  $\tilde{f}_1(x)_{a_i} = 0$  for all  $i \geq n$  with  $a_i \in A_1$  (recall that  $\tilde{f}_1(x) \in \sigma(A_1)$ ). Then  $\chi_i(\varepsilon(x)/2) = 1$  and  $g_1(x)_{a_i} = 0 + \xi^{-1}(\xi(x_{a_i})) = x_{a_i}$  for all  $i \geq n$  with  $a_i \in A_1$ . So  $g(x)_a = x_a$  for all coordinates in  $A$  except possibly those in  $C = \{a_i : i < n\} \cup A_2$ . Since  $C$  is a sequence that converges to 0 we have for every  $p \in (0, \infty)$  that  $(g(x)_a)_{a > p}$  differs at only finitely many coordinates from  $(x_a)_{a > p}$  and hence that  $g(x) \in Z_p$  (or  $\zeta_p$ ) if and only if  $x \in Z_p$  (or  $\zeta_p$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *The system  $l_p$  is reflexively universal rel  $s$  in  $Q$ .*

PROOF: This is virtually identical to the proof of Proposition 3.6. The only addition is that the homeomorphism  $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  should satisfy the condition  $\pi(x) = x$  for  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This guarantees that for every  $x \in s$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\pi(x_i)|^p < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *The system  $l_p$  is imbeddable in  $Z_p$ .*

PROOF: Write  $A$  as a disjoint union of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ , where  $A_0$  is a sequence that converges to 0. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  enumerate  $A_1$ . Select an imbedding  $\alpha_0 : Q \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{A_0}$ . We define  $\alpha_1 : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$  by

$$\alpha_1(x)_{a_n} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{a_n} \right)^{1/a_n} \quad \text{for } x \in Q \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Note that  $0 \leq \alpha_1(x)_{a_n} \leq |x|_{a_n}$ . Put  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_0} \times \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  and observe that  $\alpha$  is an imbedding. If  $x \in l_q$  and  $a \in (q, \infty) \cap A_1$  then we have  $\alpha_1(x)_a \leq |x|_a \leq |x|_q$  so  $\alpha_1(x)_{a>q}$  is bounded by  $|x|_q$ . Since  $(q, p) \cap A_0$  is finite we may conclude that  $\alpha(x)_{a>q}$  is bounded and that  $\alpha(x) \in Z_q$ . On the other hand if  $x \notin l_q$  then we have  $|x|_q = \infty$ . Let  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  be arbitrary. There exists an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q)^{1/q} > M$ . By continuity in  $q$  we can find an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^r)^{1/r} > M$  for each  $r \in (q, q + \varepsilon)$ . Since  $A_1$  is dense there is an  $m > n$  with  $a_m \in (q, q + \varepsilon)$ . So we have  $\alpha_1(x)_{a_m} > M$  and we may conclude that  $\alpha_1(x)_{a>q}$  is unbounded and that  $\alpha(x) \notin Z_q$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $\Delta$  is a countable dense subset of  $(0, \infty)$  then the system  $Z_p$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $\zeta_p$ .*

PROOF: We shall use the known fact that there exists a map  $v : Q \rightarrow Q$  such that  $v^{-1}(\sigma') = \Sigma'$ . This can easily be seen as follows. The product of  $Q$  and the fd-capset  $\sigma'$  is a capset in  $Q \times Q$ . Since capsets are topologically unique there is a homeomorphism  $h : Q \rightarrow Q \times Q$  with  $h(\Sigma') = Q \times \sigma'$ . If we combine  $h$  with the projection onto the second coordinate then we have  $v$ .

Let  $b_0 = 0$  and enumerate  $\Delta = \{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Select by induction for every  $n \geq 0$  a sequence  $A_n \subset A \cap (b_n, c_n)$  that converges to  $b_n$ , where  $c_n \in (b_n, \infty]$  is the minimum of the compact set

$$(b_n, \infty) \cap \left( \{b_i : i < n\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \right).$$

Note that the  $A_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint. Put  $A' = A \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty A_i$ . Let  $\alpha_0 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{A_0}$  be an imbedding and for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $\alpha_n : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A \cap (b_n, \infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_n}$  be a map like  $v$  above, i.e.

$$\alpha_n^{-1}(\sigma'(A_n)) = \Sigma'(A \cap (b_n, \infty)).$$

We obviously may assume that  $\alpha_n(0) = 0$ . Define the closed imbedding  $\alpha : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x)_{a \in A_0} &= \alpha_0(x), \\ \alpha(x)_{a \in A_n} &= \alpha_n((\max\{0, |x_{a'}| - n\})_{a' > b_n}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \alpha(x)_{a \in A'} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \notin Z_{b_n}$  then we have that  $(\max\{0, |x_a| - n\})_{a > b_n}$  is still outside of  $\Sigma'(A \cap (b_n, p))$ . Consequently,  $\alpha(x)_{a \in A_n} \notin \sigma'(A_n)$  and  $\alpha(x) \notin \zeta_{b_n}^p$ . Let  $x \in Z_{b_n}^p$  and let  $m$  be such that  $|x_a| \leq m$  for all  $a > b_n$  that are outside of some finite set  $C$ . Let  $i$  be such that  $b_i < b_n$ . If  $i > n$  then  $A_i$  and  $(b_n, \infty)$  are disjoint and if  $i < n$  then  $A_i \cap (b_n, \infty)$  is finite. Consequently, we have that  $(b_n, \infty) \cap \bigcup\{A_i : b_i < b_n\}$  is finite and hence these coordinates are irrelevant to the question whether  $\alpha(x)$  is an element of  $\zeta_{b_n}$  or not. Let  $i$  be such that  $b_i \geq b_n$ . If  $i \geq m$  then  $\max\{0, |x_a - i|\} = 0$  for  $a \in (b_i, \infty) \setminus C$  and hence we have  $\alpha(x)_a = 0$  for every  $a \in A_i \setminus C$ . If  $i < m$  then

$$(\max\{0, |x_a| - i\})_{a > b_i} \in \Sigma'(A \cap (b_i, \infty))$$

and hence  $\alpha(x)_{a \in A_i}$  is an element of  $\sigma'(A_i)$ . So we may conclude that  $\alpha(x) \in \zeta_{b_n}^p$ . □

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $\Delta$  is a countable subset of  $(0, \infty)$  then the system  $\zeta_p$  is  $\Delta$ -imbeddable in  $l_p$ .*

PROOF: For technical reasons we shall imbed  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  into  $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$  rather than  $Q$ . Enumerate  $A = \{a_n : n \geq 2\}$  and  $\Delta = \{b_n : n \geq 2\}$ . Select for every  $n \geq 2$  a  $\delta_n$  between 0 and  $a_n$  such that  $[a_n - \delta_n, a_n]$  and  $\{b_i : i \leq n\}$  are disjoint. Define the continuous map  $\chi : [1, \infty) \rightarrow I^{\mathbf{N}}$  by

$$\chi(t)_k = t^{-1} \min\{1, \max\{0, t + 1 - k\}\} \quad \text{for } t \in [1, \infty) \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

This map has the following properties:  $|\chi(t)|_1 = 1$  and

$$\chi(t)_k = \begin{cases} t^{-1} & \text{for } k \leq t \\ 0 & \text{for } k \geq t + 1. \end{cases}$$

Put  $\chi_q(t)_k = (\chi(t)_k)^{1/q}$  and note that  $|\chi_q(t)|_q = 1$ . We now define a sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of maps from  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  into  $Q$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  be an imbedding of  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  into  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 2^{-i}] \subset Q$  and note that the image of  $\alpha_1$  is contained in  $\tilde{l}_0$ . If  $n \geq 2$  and  $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  then put  $\varepsilon_n = \min\{2^{-n+1}, |x_{a_n}|\}$ . The function  $\alpha_n : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow Q$  is defined by

$$\alpha_n(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n \chi_{a_n}(\varepsilon_n^{-na_n/\delta_n}) & \text{for } \varepsilon_n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } \varepsilon_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|\alpha_n(x)|_p \leq |\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n} = \varepsilon_n$  we have that  $\alpha_n$  is continuous. Noting that  $|\alpha_n(x)|_p \leq 2^{-n+1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we may conclude that the sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  forms a continuous map of  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  into  $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$ . This function is an imbedding because its first component  $\alpha_1$  is an imbedding.

Assume that  $x$  is an element of  $\zeta_q$ . This means that only finitely many components  $x_{a_n}$  with  $a_n > q$  are nonzero. We have the following estimate for the  $q$ -norm of  $\alpha(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(x)\|_q^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \\ &= |\alpha_1(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n \leq q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n > q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \\ &\leq |\alpha_1(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n \leq q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n}^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n > q \\ x_{a_n} \neq 0}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

This expression is finite because  $|\alpha_1(x)|_q$  is finite, because  $|\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n} = \varepsilon_n \leq 2^{-n+1}$  and because the last sum consists of only finitely many terms.

If  $x$  is not an element of  $\zeta_q$  then there exist infinitely many  $a_n > q$  such that  $x_{a_n} \neq 0$ . If moreover  $q \in \Delta$  then all but finitely many of those  $a_n$ 's have the property  $a_n - \delta_n > q$ . Let  $a_n$  be such a coordinate of  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  and put  $t = \varepsilon_n^{-na_n/\delta_n}$ . Since at least  $t - 1$  terms of  $\chi_{a_n}(t)$  are equal to  $t^{-1/a_n}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q &\geq \varepsilon_n^q (t - 1)t^{-q/a_n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^q t^{(a_n - q)/a_n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^q t^{\delta_n/a_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $t \geq 2$  and  $q < a_n - \delta_n$ . Substituting the value for  $t$  we find  $|\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^{q - n} \geq 1$  for all but finitely many  $a_n$ 's. This means that infinitely many of the terms of the series  $\|\alpha(x)\|_q^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q$  are at least 1 and hence that  $\|\alpha(x)\|$  is infinite. □

If we apply Theorem 2.1 to Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 then we obtain:

**Theorem 4.7.** *If  $\Delta$  is a countable dense subset of  $(0, \infty)$  then the systems  $l_p$ ,  $\zeta_p$  and  $Z_p$  are  $\Delta$ -homeomorphic, i.e. there exist homeomorphisms  $\alpha, \beta : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$  such that  $\alpha(l_p) = Z_p$  and  $\beta(l_p) = \zeta_p$  for every  $p \in \Delta$ .*

If  $p \in [0, \infty)$  then we define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_p &= \bigcap_{p < q} Z_q \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \\ \tilde{\zeta}_p &= \bigcap_{p < q} \zeta_q \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Delta$  is dense then we have  $\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap \{l_q : q \in \Delta \text{ with } p < q\}$ , which observation produces:

**Corollary 4.8.** *The systems  $\tilde{l}_p$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_p$  and  $\tilde{Z}_p$  are homeomorphic.*

Observe that if  $\infty = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  is a decreasing sequence in  $[0, \infty]$  that converges to  $p$  then we have:

$$\tilde{Z}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma'([a_{i+1}, a_i] \cap A)$$

and

$$\tilde{\zeta}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma'([a_{i+1}, a_i] \cap A).$$

This leads to:

**Corollary 4.9.** *The pair  $(Q, \tilde{l}_p)$  is homeomorphic to  $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \Sigma'^{\mathbf{N}})$  and to  $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma'^{\mathbf{N}})$  and hence also to  $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \Sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$  and  $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ .*

This corollary proves the second part of Theorem 1.3 and it means that  $\tilde{l}_p$  is just like  $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$  an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in the Hilbert cube, which combines with Lemma 4.3 to:

**Theorem 4.10.** *The space  $\tilde{l}_p$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel  $s$  in  $Q$  and hence the triple  $(Q, s, \tilde{l}_p)$  is homeomorphic to  $(Q, s, c_0)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Baars J., Gladdines H., van Mill J., *Absorbing systems in infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Topology Appl. **50** (1993), 147–182.
- [2] Bessaga C., Pełczyński A., *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
- [3] Curtis D.W., *Boundary sets in the Hilbert cube*, Topology Appl. **20** (1985), 201–221.
- [4] Dijkstra J.J., Dobrowolski T., Marciszewski W., van Mill J., Mogilski J., *Recent classification and characterization results in geometric topology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 277–283.
- [5] Dijkstra J.J., van Mill J., Mogilski J., *The space of infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of  $(I_{\mathbb{F}}^2)^{\omega}$* , Pacific. J. Math. **152** (1992), 255–273.



- [6] Dijkstra J.J., Mogilski J., *The topological product structure of systems of Lebesgue spaces*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 527–543.
- [7] Dobrowolski T., Marciszewski W., Mogilski J., *On topological classification of function spaces  $C_p(X)$  of low Borel complexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 307–324.
- [8] Dobrowolski T., Mogilski J., *Problems on topological classification of incomplete metric spaces*, in Open Problems in Topology, J. van Mill and G.M. Reed, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, 409–429.
- [9] Dobrowolski T., Mogilski J., *Certain sequence and function spaces homeomorphic to the countable product of  $l_f^2$* , J. London Math. Soc. **45.2** (1992), 566–576.
- [10] Lutzer D., McCoy R., *Category in function spaces I*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 145–168.
- [11] van Mill J., *Topological equivalence of certain function spaces*, Compositio Math. **63** (1987), 159–188.
- [12] van Mill J., *Infinite-Dimensional Topology, Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA 35487-0350, USA

*E-mail:* jdijkstr@ua1vm.ua.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, APARTADO POSTAL 55-534, MEXICO D. F. 09340, MEXICO

*E-mail:* jkm@xanum.uam.mx

(Received May 9, 1995)