Strong tightness as a condition of weak and almost sure convergence

Grzegorz Krupa, Wiesław Zięba

Abstract. A sequence of random elements $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is called strongly tight if for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $P\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} [X_j \in K]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$. For the Polish space valued sequences of random elements we show that almost sure convergence of $\{X_n\}$ as well as weak convergence of randomly indexed sequence $\{X_\tau\}$ assure strong tightness of $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For L^1 bounded Banach space valued asymptotic martingales strong tightness also turns out to the sufficient condition of convergence. A sequence of r.e. $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is said to converge essentially with respect to law to r.e. X if for all sets of continuity of measure $P \circ X^{-1}$, $P(\limsup_{n \to \infty} [X_n \in A]) = P([\min_{n \to \infty} [X_n \in A]) = P([x \in A])$. Conditions under which $\{X_n\}$ is essentially w.r.t. law convergent and relations to strong tightness are investigated.

Keywords: almost sure convergence, stopping times, tightness *Classification:* 60B10, 60G40

1. Notations and definitions

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, (S, ϱ) — a Polish space i.e. metric, complete and separable. A random element (r.e.) is any measurable mapping $X : \Omega \mapsto S$. For any sequence $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of random elements \mathcal{F}_n will denote a smallest σ -algebra containing X_1, \ldots, X_n . A mapping $\tau : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ will be called a stopping time if $[\tau = n] \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Let T be a collection of all bounded stopping times i.e. such stopping times that $P[\tau < M] = 1$. A generalized sequence a_{τ} is a mapping $f : T \mapsto S$ such that $f(\tau) = a_{\tau}$. A generalized sequence a_{τ} converges to a if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\nu \in T$ such that $\varrho(a_{\tau}, a) < \varepsilon$ for all $\tau \geq \nu$, a.s.

A sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random elements is randomly convergent in law to a random element $X \left(X_{\tau} \xrightarrow{D} X \right)$ if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tau_0 \in T$ such that $L(X_{\tau}, X) < \varepsilon$ for every $\tau \in T, \tau \ge \tau_0$ a.s., where L denotes the Lévy-Prokhorov metric.

Definition 1.1. A collection $\{P_j, j \in J\}$ of probability measures is tight if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset S$ such that for all $j \in J$

$$P_j(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

Definition 1.2. A collection $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ of random elements is strongly tight if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset S$ such that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j\in J} [X_j\in K]\right) > 1-\varepsilon.$$

Obviously if a collection $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is strongly tight then the collection of probability measures $\{P \circ X_j^{-1}, j \in J\}$ is tight.

2. Essential with respect to law convergence of random elements

In this section we will consider random elements with values in a Polish space. Let C_{P_X} denote a set of continuity of measure P_X , i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}_{P_X} = \{ A \in \mathcal{B} : P[X \in \partial A] = 0 \},\$$

where ∂A is a boundary of A.

Definition 2.1. A sequence of random elements $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is said to converge essentially w.r.t. law $\left(X_n \xrightarrow{ED} X\right)$ if for all $A \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} [X_n \in A]\right) = P\left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} [X_n \in A]\right) = P[X \in A].$$

This type of convergence was investigated in [10]. It seems to be worth mentioning that essential w.r.t. law convergence follows from a.s. convergence. On the other side if $X_n \xrightarrow{ED} X$ then there exists a r.e. X' with the same distribution as X such that $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X'$.

The following theorem is analogous to Theorem 2.1 of [3].

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of r.e., and X – a r.e. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1.
$$X_n \xrightarrow{ED} X$$
, as $n \to \infty$,

ΠD

- 2. for all $A \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$ $P(\limsup_{n \to \infty} [X_n \in A]) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in A]) = P[X \in A],$
- 3. for any closed set $F \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in F]\right) \le P[X \in F],$
- 4. for any open set $G \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in F]) \ge P[X \in G].$

PROOF: Implication $((1) \Rightarrow (2))$ is obvious.

 $((2) \Rightarrow (1))$. Consider condition (2) for a complement A^c of the set $A \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in A^c]\right) = P[X \in A^c].$$

Then, obviously

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in A^c]\right)^c\right) = P[X \in A]$$

and finally

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in A]\right) = P[X \in A].$$

 $((2) \Rightarrow (3))$. Let $F^{\delta} = \{x : \varrho(x, F) \leq \delta\}$. Then $\partial F^{\delta} \subset \{x : \varrho(x, F) = \delta\}$. For any closed set F there exists a sequence $\delta^k \downarrow 0$ such that the sets $F^{\delta_k} \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$ and $\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} F^{\delta_k} = F$. Take a closed set F. Moreover, there exists $F^{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$ such that $P_X(F^{\delta} \setminus F) < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in F]\right) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in F^{\delta}]\right)$$
$$= P[X \in F^{\delta}] \le P[X \in F] + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is an arbitrary positive number

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in F]\right) \le P[X \in F].$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in G]\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - P\left(\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in G]\right)\right)\right)$$
$$= 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in G^c]\right) \ge 1 - P[X \in G^c]$$
$$= P[X \in G].$$

The case $((4) \Rightarrow (3))$ can be proved in the similar way.

Now we need only (((3) and (4)) \Rightarrow (2)). Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$ and let Int A denote interior of A. Then

$$P[X \in \text{Int } A] \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in \text{Int } A]\right)$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in \text{Int } A]\right) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in A]\right)$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in \bar{A}]\right) \leq P[X \in \bar{A}].$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{C}_{P_X}$, (2) holds.

There is a connection between essential w.r.t. law convergence and strong tightness.

Theorem 2.2. If a sequence of random elements $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges essentially w.r.t. law to a random element X, then it is strongly tight.

PROOF: Since S is separable there exists a countable dense set $\{x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $K(x_i, \delta) = \{x : \varrho(x, x_i) < \delta\}$. Define

$$B_m(\delta) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m K(x_i, \delta).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P[X \in B_m(\delta)] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By (4) of Theorem 2.1

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in B_m(\delta)]\right) \ge P[X \in B_m(\delta)] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and, by the definition of the limit, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{k=n_0}^{\infty} [X_k \in B_m(\delta)]\right) > 1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}.$$

On the other side, for each random element X_i $(i = 1, ..., n_0 - 1)$ there exists m_i such that

$$P[X_i \in B_{m_i}(\delta)] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \cdot 2^i}.$$

Put $m(\varepsilon, \delta) = \max\{m, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_0-1}\}$. Then

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i \in B_{m(\varepsilon,\delta)}(\delta)]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Define a set

$$K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{m(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \frac{1}{k})}(\frac{1}{k}),$$

which is compact (it is closed and contains a finite ε -net). Moreover,

(1)
$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i \in K]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Indeed,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i \in K]\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [X_i \notin K]\right)$$
$$= 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[X_i \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{m(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \frac{1}{k})}(\frac{1}{k})\right]\right)$$
$$= 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[X_i \notin B_{m(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \frac{1}{k})}(\frac{1}{k})\right]\right)$$
$$\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[X_i \notin B_{m(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \frac{1}{k})}(\frac{1}{k})\right]\right)$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[X_i \in B_{m(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \frac{1}{k})}(\frac{1}{k})\right]\right)\right)$$
$$\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = 1 - \varepsilon.$$
dition (1) assures strict tightness of the sequence {X_i}.

Condition (1) assures strict tightness of the sequence $\{X_i\}$.

Essential w.r.t. law convergence of random elements sequence $\{X_n\}$ is equivalent to the weak convergence of $\{X_{\tau}\}$ for all $\tau \to \infty$ ($\tau \in T$). It is easy to see that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that for all $\tau \to \infty$ ($\tau \in T$) $X_{\tau} \xrightarrow{D} X$, then a collection of probability measures $P_{X_{\tau}} = PX_{\tau}^{-1}$ is tight.

By the Prokhorov theorem ([3]) if a sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random elements converges in law to a random element X, then the sequence of their distributions is tight, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact K_{ε} such that

$$P[X_n \in K_{\varepsilon}] > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By the Theorem 2.3 we have

Corollary 2.1. If for any $\tau \to \infty$, $(\tau \in T) X_{\tau} \xrightarrow{D} X$, then the sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is strongly tight.

3. Strong tightness in Polish spaces

Theorem 3.1. Let (S, ϱ) be a Polish space and let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of S-valued random elements. If $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ as $n \to \infty$, for some r.e. X, then the sequence $\{X_n\}$ is strongly tight.

PROOF: By the Theorem 2 in [5], $X_{\tau} \xrightarrow{D} X$ for any $\tau \in T$, such that $\tau \to \infty$. This combined with Corollary 2.1 completes the proof. Some properties of the metric space (S, ϱ) carry over to the space of random elements E_S with the Lévy-Prokhorov metric L or with the Ky-Fan metric

$$K(X,Y) = \inf\{\varepsilon : P[\varrho(X,Y) > \varepsilon] < \varepsilon\}.$$

Examples of those properties are separability and completeness (see [3]). Unfortunately, compactness of the space S does not assure compactness of the (E_S, K) .

Example 3.1. Let ξ be a random variable uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Let $0, \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \ldots$ be an infinite dyadic representation of ξ , i.e. $\xi = \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \frac{\delta_2}{2^2} + \frac{\delta_3}{2^3} + \ldots$ For any integer number n

$$[\delta_n = 0] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{2(i-1)}{2^n} \le \xi < \frac{2i-1}{2^n} \right],$$
$$[\delta_n = 1] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{2i-1}{2^n} \le \xi < \frac{2i}{2^n} \right].$$

Obviously,

$$P[\delta_n = 0] = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} P\left[\frac{2(i-1)}{2^n} \le \xi < \frac{2i-1}{2^n}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Analogously, $P[\delta_n = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$. Random variable δ_n are also independent. Indeed, take any finite sequence $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Let $m = i_n$ and $\eta^{(m)} = \frac{\delta_{i_1}}{2^{i_1}} + \frac{\delta_{i_2}}{2^{i_2}} + \cdots + \frac{\delta_{i_n}}{2^{i_n}}$ be an *m*-digital dyadic number. (This does not affect the above assumption of ξ having infinite representations.) Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be a 0-1 sequence.

$$P\left(\left[\delta_{i_1} = \varepsilon_1\right] \cap \left[\delta_{i_2} = \varepsilon_2\right] \cap \dots \cap \left[\delta_{i_n} = \varepsilon_n\right]\right)$$
$$= P\left[\eta^{(m)} = \frac{\delta_{i_1}}{2^{i_1}} + \frac{\delta_{i_2}}{2^{i_2}} + \dots + \frac{\delta_{i_n}}{2^{i_n}}\right] = \frac{1}{2^m}$$
$$= P[\delta_{i_1} = \varepsilon_1] \cdot P[\delta_{i_2} = \varepsilon_2] \cdot \dots \cdot P[\delta_{i_n} = \varepsilon_n]$$

Consider now the matrix

and random dyadic numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= 0, \delta_1 \delta_3 \delta_6 \dots = \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \frac{\delta_3}{2^2} + \frac{\delta_6}{2^3} + \dots \\ \xi_2 &= 0, \delta_2 \delta_5 \delta_9 \dots = \frac{\delta_2}{2} + \frac{\delta_5}{2^2} + \frac{\delta_9}{2^3} + \dots \\ \xi_3 &= 0, \delta_4 \delta_8 \dots = \frac{\delta_4}{2} + \frac{\delta_8}{2^2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

 ξ_i are independent for δ_i are. Now we will prove that ξ_i are uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Indeed, for any n

$$\xi_i^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta_k}{2^k}$$

may take values from the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}\}$ with probabilities $\frac{1}{2^n}$. As $n \to \infty$, $\xi_i^{(n)} \to \xi_i$ and the distribution of $\xi_i^{(n)}$ converges to the uniform distribution. Let $\{\xi_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed

on [0,1] defined above. By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. converges in law (and, equivalently, in the Ky-Fan metric) to a degenerated r.v. Indeed, let

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \le 0, \\ x, & \text{for } x \le 1, \\ 1, & \text{for } x > 1, \end{cases}$$

be the distribution function of ξ_n . Let $A_n = [\xi_n < x]$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\xi_n^{-1}((-\infty, x)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \le 0, \\ \infty, & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

For $x \leq 0$, obviously $F_n(x) \to 0$. If x > 0, then, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \xi_k^{-1}((-\infty, x))$ is a decreasing sequence,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty}\xi_k^{-1}((-\infty,x))\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}P\xi_n^{-1}((-\infty,x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty}F_n(x) = 1$$

which equals 1, by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

4. Convergence in Banach spaces

Let \mathcal{E} denote a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let \mathcal{E}^* be its dual with the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

We have the following result similar to the one obtained in [1].

Lemma 4.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a separable Banach space. Suppose Y is an integrable cluster point of the sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\} \subset \mathcal{E}$. Then there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times $\{\tau_n, n \in \mathbb{B}\} \subset T$, such that

$$X_{\tau_n} \to Y$$
 a.s.

as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: We have to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $m \ge 1$ we can choose $\tau_k \ge m$ so that

(4)
$$P[\varrho(X_{\tau_k}, Y) > \delta] \le \varepsilon.$$

For $N \ge m$ define a random element

$$Z = E(Y|\mathcal{F}_N)$$

measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_N . Then $P[\varrho(Y,Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, (see Proposition V-2-6 in [6]), and for all $N \ge 1, 2, \ldots$ there exists n > N such that $\varrho(X_n, Y) < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Moreover $\varrho(X_n, Z) \le \varrho(X_n, Y) + \varrho(Y, Z)$, therefore

$$[\varrho(Y,Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}] \subset [\varrho(X_n,Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}, \ n \ge N].$$

Thus there exists $N_0 > N$ such that

$$P[\varrho(X_n, Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}$$
 for some $N \le n \le N_0] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Define the set $\Phi_n = [\varrho(X_n, Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}]$ and a stopping time

$$\tau_{k+1}(\omega) = \begin{cases} m & k = 0, \\ \inf\{n > \tau_k(\omega) : \omega \in \Phi_n & \text{for some } N \le n \le N_0 \} \\ N_0 & \omega \notin \Phi_n. \end{cases}$$

Now $P[\varrho(X_{\tau_k}, Z) < \frac{\delta}{2}] \ge 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and

$$P[\varrho(X_{\tau_k}, Z) < \delta] \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Uniform boundness of $E||X_n||$ is one of the conditions that assure almost sure convergence of real-valued amarts. However this condition is not sufficient in Banach spaces. It turns out that strong tightness is necessary and sufficient condition of almost sure convergence of the L^1 bounded Banach space valued amarts.

Let us outline the proofs of these facts.

Lemma 4.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a Banach space and let K be a compact subset of \mathcal{E} . There exists a countable sequence $\{x_k^*\} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ such that for an arbitrary sequence $\{x_n\} \subset K, x_n \to x$ (in the norm) for some x if and only if for all $k, x_k^*(x_n)$ converges ([6]).

Remark 4.1.. In general, even the convergence of $\{x^*(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ for all $x^* \in \mathcal{E}^*$ does not imply even weak convergence of $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$. Consider the following sequence $x_n = (\underbrace{1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{n}, 0, \ldots)$ in the space c_0 of all real-valued sequences converging to zero.

648

Strong tightness

Lemma 4.3. Suppose $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ is strongly tight sequence of random elements. Then there exists a countable subset $\{x_k^*\} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ such that $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{x_k^*(X_n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges for $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: If $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ then for any $x^* \in \mathcal{E}^* x^*(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} x^*(X)$.

Consider now sufficiency. Take any $p\in\mathbb{N},$ then there exists a compact set $K_{\frac{1}{p}}$ such that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_{\frac{1}{p}}]\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 for any $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K_{\frac{1}{p}}, x_n$ converges to some x if and only if there exists a countable set $\{x_l^{*(p)}\} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ such that $x_l^{*(p)}(x_n)$ converges. Let

$$\{x_k^*\} = \{x_l^{*(p)}, \ p, l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Suppose that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{x_k^*(X_n)\}$ converges a.s. for $n \to \infty$. Let Ω_0 be a set where $\{x_k^*(X_n(\omega)), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges for any k. Define

$$\Omega_p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_{\frac{1}{p}}] \cap \Omega_0$$

and $\Omega' = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \Omega_p$. Obviously, $P(\Omega_p) > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ and $P(\Omega') = 1$. Take $\omega \in \Omega'$, then $\omega \in \Omega_p$ for some p. The sequence $x_l^{*(p)}(X_n(\omega))$ converges for all l. The limit is measurable. Thus, by Lemma 4.3, $X_n(\omega)$ converges, therefore X_n converges a.s.

4.1 Almost sure convergence of asymptotic martingales

Definition 4.1 ([5]). A sequence $\{(X_n, \mathcal{A}_n); n \ge 1\}$ of Pettis integrable r.v.s. is called an asymptotic martingale (amart) iff X_n is \mathcal{A}_n -measurable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tau_0 \in T$ such that for every $\tau, \nu \in T$ $\tau, \nu \ge \tau_0$ we have

$$\|EX_{\tau} - EX_{\nu}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{(X_n, \mathcal{A}_n)\}$ be an L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale. The necessary and sufficient condition for a.s. convergence of X_n to an integrable random element X is strong tightness of the sequence $\{X_n\}$.

PROOF: Necessity of the above condition follows from the Theorem 3.1. For sufficiency, assume that $\{X_n\}$ is strictly tight. For any $x^* \in \mathcal{E}^*$ the sequence $x^*(X_n)$ is an L^1 -bounded real-valued asymptotic martingale. Indeed $\sup_n E|x^*(X_n)| \leq \sup_n ||x^*||_* \cdot E||X_n|| < \infty$ and $|Ex^*(X_{\tau}) - Ex^*(X_{\sigma})| = |(EX_{\tau}) - x^*(EX_{\sigma})| \leq ||x^*||_* ||EX_{\tau} - EX_{\sigma}||$. Since $\{x^*(X_n)\}$ is an L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale it converges a.s. ([1]) and, by Lemma 4.3 X_n converges a.s. The limit X of $\{X_n\}$ is integrable. Indeed, by Fatou lemma

$$\int XdP = \int \lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int X_n dP < \infty.$$

 \Box

References

- Austin D.G., Edgar G.A., Ionescu Tulcea A., Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsteorie verw. Gebiete 30 (1974), 17–26.
- [2] Baxter J.R., Pointwise in terms of weak convergence, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1974), 395–398.
- [3] Billingsley P., Convergence of Probability Measure, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [4] Diestel J., Uhl J.J., Jr., Vector Measures, AMS Mathematical Surveys 15 (1979).
- [5] Edgar G.A., Suchestone L., Amarts: A Class of Asymptotic Martingales. A Discrete Parameter, Journal of Multivariate Analysis 6.2 (1976).
- Kruk L., Zięba W., On tightness of randomly indexed sequences of random elements, Bull. Pol. Ac.: Math. 42 (1994), 237–241.
- [7] Neveu J., Discrete-Parameter Martingales, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1975.
- [8] Szynal D., Zięba W., On some characterization of almost sure convergence, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 34 (1986), 9–10.

Institute of Mathematics, Maria Curie–Skłodowska University, pl. M. Curie–Skłodowskiej 1, PL–20–031 Lublin, Poland

(Received May 15, 1995, revised November 29, 1995)