

On variations of functions of one real variable

WASHEK F. PFEFFER

Abstract. We discuss variations of functions that provide conceptually similar descriptive definitions of the Lebesgue and Denjoy-Perron integrals.

Keywords: Lebesgue integral, Denjoy-Perron integral, variational measure

Classification: Primary 26A39, 26A45; Secondary 26A42

The conceptual affinity between the Denjoy-Perron and Lebesgue integrals was established vis-à-vis their Riemannian definitions more than twenty years ago in the works of Henstock [6], Kurzweil [8], and McShane [10]. Yet, until recently, the descriptive definitions of these integrals have little in common. Modifying the variational measures of Thomson [15] and elaborating on a new result of Bongiorno, Di Piazza, and Skvortsov [2], we shall elucidate the similarities between the contemporary descriptive definitions of the Lebesgue integral, Denjoy-Perron integral, and \mathcal{F} -integral of [12, Chapter 11].

Our ambient space is the real line \mathbf{R} . The interior, diameter, and the Lebesgue measure of a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ are denoted by $\text{int } E$, $d(E)$, and $|E|$, respectively. A set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $|E| = 0$ is called *negligible*. The terms “almost everywhere” and “absolutely continuous” always refer to the Lebesgue measure in \mathbf{R} . For $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we let $U(x, \varepsilon) = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$.

A *cell* is a compact nondegenerate subinterval of \mathbf{R} , and a *figure* is a finite (possibly empty) union of cells. We say figures A and B *overlap* if their interiors meet. With each nonempty figure A , we associate two numbers: the *perimeter* $\|A\|$ equal to twice the number of connected components of A , and the *regularity*

$$r(A) = \frac{|A|}{d(A)\|A\|}.$$

For completeness, we let $\|A\| = r(A) = 0$ whenever A is the empty figure. Note that a figure A is a cell whenever $r(A) \geq 1/4$, in which case $r(A) = 1/2$.

Unless specified otherwise, all functions we shall consider are real-valued. If F is a function defined on a cell A and B is a subfigure of A whose connected components are the cells $[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_n, b_n]$, we let

$$F(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n [F(b_i) - F(a_i)].$$

Clearly, $F(B \cup C) = F(B) + F(C)$ whenever B and C are nonoverlapping sub-figures of A . Denoting by the same symbol both the function of points and the associated function of figures will lead to no confusion.

A nonnegative function δ on a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ is called a *gage* on E whenever its null set $N_\delta = \{x \in E : \delta(x) = 0\}$ is countable. A *partition* is a collection (possibly empty) $P = \{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ such that A_1, \dots, A_p are nonoverlapping figures, and $x_i \in A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $E \subset \mathbf{R}^m$, and a gage δ on E , we say that P is

1. *cellular* if each A_i is a cell;
2. ε -*regular* if $r(A_i) > \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$;
3. *in E* if $\bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i \subset E$;
4. *anchored in E* if $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \subset E$;
5. δ -*fine* if it is anchored in E and $d(A_i) < \delta(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Given a positive gage δ on A , a collection $Q = \{(B_1, y_1), \dots, (B_q, y_q)\}$ is called a δ -*fine McShane partition* in A if B_1, \dots, B_q are nonoverlapping subcells of A , each y_i is a point in A , and $d(B_i \cup \{y_i\}) < \delta(y_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$. If each y_i belongs to a set $E \subset A$, we say Q is anchored in E .

Proposition 1. *A function f on a cell A is Lebesgue integrable in A if and only if there is a function F on A satisfying the following condition: given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a positive gage δ on A so that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)| |A_i| - F(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A . The function F is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of f in A ; in particular, F is continuous.

PROOF: The continuity of F at $x \in A$ is easily established by choosing a sufficiently small positive gage δ on A and considering a δ -fine partition

$$\{(A \cap [x - \eta, x + \eta], x)\}$$

(see [12, Corollary 2.3.2] for details).

Suppose the condition of the proposition is satisfied, and select a δ -fine McShane partition $\{(B_1, y_1), \dots, (B_q, y_q)\}$ in A . Denote by x_1, \dots, x_p the distinct points among y_1, \dots, y_q , and let $C_i = \bigcup \{B_j : y_j = x_i\}$. As F is continuous, there is a δ -fine cellular partition $\{(D_1, x_1), \dots, (D_p, x_p)\}$ in A such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \left[|f(x_i)| \cdot |D_i| + |F(D_i)| \right] < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^p \left[|f(x_i)| \cdot |C_i \cap D_k| + |F(C_i \cap D_k)| \right] < \varepsilon.$$

If $A_i = D_i \cup (C_i - \bigcup_{k=1}^p D_k)$, then $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ is a δ -fine partition in A , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &> \sum_{i=1}^p [f(x_i)|A_i| - F(A_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^p [f(x_i)|D_i| - F(D_i)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p [f(x_i)|C_i| - F(C_i)] - \sum_{i,k=1}^p [f(x_i)|C_i \cap D_k| - F(C_i \cap D_k)] \\ &> \sum_{j=1}^q [f(y_j)|B_j| - F(B_j)] - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality we deduce $\sum_{j=1}^q |f(y_j)|B_j| - F(B_j)| < 6\varepsilon$.

Conversely, suppose we can find a positive gage δ on A so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^q |f(y_j)|B_j| - F(B_j)| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine McShane partition in A , and select a δ -fine partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A . If $A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,n_i}$ are the connected components of A_i , then

$$\{(A_{i,j}, x_i) : j = 1, \dots, n_i \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, p\}$$

is a δ -fine McShane partition in A , and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)|A_i| - F(A_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} |f(x_i)|A_{i,j}| - F(A_{i,j})| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus the condition of the theorem is equivalent to f being McShane integrable in A , and the proposition follows from [5, Theorem 10.9]. \square

In Proposition 1, a positive gage is needed to assure the continuity of F . If F is assumed continuous and a positive gage is replaced by an arbitrary gage, the condition of Proposition 1 defines an integral that is closed with respect to the formation of improper integrals, and thus slightly more general than the Lebesgue integral.

Proposition 2. *A function f on a cell A is Denjoy-Perron integrable in A if and only if there is a continuous function F on A satisfying the following condition: given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a gage δ on A so that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)|A_i| - F(A_i)| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine cellular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A . The function F is the indefinite Denjoy-Perron integral of f in A .

PROOF: In view of [5, Chapter 11], it suffices to show that if the condition of the proposition holds, it holds already for a positive gage δ_+ . To this end, enumerate the null set N_δ of δ as z_1, z_2, \dots , and find $\theta_n > 0$ so that

$$|f(z_n)| \cdot |C| + |F(C)| < 2^{-n}\varepsilon$$

for each cell $C \subset U(z_n, \theta_n)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now let

$$\delta_+(x) = \begin{cases} \theta_n & \text{if } x = z_n \text{ for an integer } n \geq 1, \\ \delta(x) & \text{if } x \in A - N_\delta. \end{cases}$$

Given a δ_+ -fine cellular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$, observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)|A_i - F(A_i) < \sum_{\delta(x_i) > 0} |f(x_i)|A_i - F(A_i) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\varepsilon < 2\varepsilon,$$

which establishes the proposition. \square

According to [5, Chapter 11], a gage in Proposition 2 can be replaced by a positive gage, in which case the continuity of F can be deduced as in Proposition 1. However, a slight modification of [12, Example 12.3.5] shows that Proposition 2 is false when cellular partitions, which are $(1/4)$ -regular partitions, are replaced by α -regular partitions with $\alpha < 1/4$.

Propositions 1 and 2 lead to the definition of the \mathcal{F} -integral, which lies properly in between the Lebesgue and Denjoy-Perron integrals. It was introduced in [13] as a coordinate free multidimensional integral that integrates partial derivatives of differentiable functions (cf. [11]).

Definition 3. A function f on a cell A is called \mathcal{F} -integrable in A whenever there is a continuous function F on A satisfying the following condition: given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a gage δ on A so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)|A_i - F(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine ε -regular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A . The function F , uniquely determined by f , is called the *indefinite \mathcal{F} -integral* of f in A .

We note that the additivity properties of the \mathcal{F} -integral depend on the use of arbitrary, not necessarily positive, gages.

Remark 4. One may also consider the integrals defined by means of α -regular partitions, where $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ is a *fixed* number. Whether different α 's produce different integrals is unclear, however, the work of Jarník and Kurzweil [9] suggests this may be the case. We do not study these integrals, since they may not be invariant with respect to diffeomorphisms (a diffeomorphic image of an α -regular figure need not be α -regular).

Let F be a function defined on a cell A , and let $E \subset A$ be an arbitrary set. Elaborating on the ideas of B.S. Thomson [15, Chapter 3], we define variations of F corresponding to the integrals discussed earlier.

Lebesgue variation:

$$V^L F(E) = \inf_{\delta} \sup_P \sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)|$$

where δ is a positive gage on E and $P = \{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ is a δ -fine partition in A anchored in E .

Denjoy-Perron variation:

$$V^{DP} F(E) = \inf_{\delta} \sup_P \sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)|$$

where δ is a gage on E and $P = \{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ is a δ -fine cellular partition in A anchored in E .

\mathcal{F} -variation:

$$V^{\mathcal{F}} F(E) = \sup_{\alpha} \inf_{\delta} \sup_P \sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)|$$

where $\alpha > 0$, δ is a gage on E , and $P = \{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ is a δ -fine α -regular partition in A anchored in E .

Arguments analogous to those of [15, Theorems 3.7 and 3.15] reveal that the extended real-valued functions $V^L F$, $V^{DP} F$, and $V^{\mathcal{F}} F$ are *Borel regular measures* in A (cf. [12, Lemma 3.3.14] and [3, Lemma 4.6]). We shall use this important fact in the proof of Proposition 6 below. The inequalities

$$(1) \quad V^{DP} F \leq V^{\mathcal{F}} F \leq V^L F$$

follow directly from the definitions.

Remark 5. Let F be a *continuous* function on a cell A . Employing ideas which proved Proposition 1, it is easy to show that in defining $V^L F(E)$ we can use δ -fine *McShane partitions*. Similarly, $V^{DP} F(E)$ can be defined by *positive gages* (cf. [2, Proposition 6] and the proof of Proposition 2).

If F is a function on a cell A , we denote by $V F(B)$ the *usual variation* of F over a figure $B \subset A$ [5, Chapter 4].

Proposition 6. *If F is a continuous function in a cell A , then*

$$(2) \quad V^{DP}F(B) = V^{\mathcal{F}}F(B) = VF(B)$$

for each figure $B \subset A$, and $V^LF(A) = VF(A)$. Moreover, $V^{DP}F = V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ whenever $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is σ -finite, and $V^{\mathcal{F}}F = V^LF$ whenever V^LF is σ -finite.

PROOF: Equality (2), which is an easy consequence of generalized Cousin's lemma [7, Lemma 6], was established in [1, Proposition 4.8].

If $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is σ -finite, then $V^{DP}F$ and $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ vanish on all but countably many singletons. Thus it is not difficult to deduce from (2) that $V^{DP}F(U) = V^{\mathcal{F}}F(U)$ for each relatively open set $U \subset A$ (see [12, Lemma 3.4.4] for details). As $V^{DP}F$ and $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ are σ -finite Borel regular measures in A , they coincide.

Let B be a subfigure of A , and let int_AB be the relative interior of B in A . Choose a positive gage δ on int_AB so that $A \cap U(x, \delta(x)) \subset B$ for each $x \in \text{int}_AB$, and let $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ be a δ -fine partition in A anchored in int_AB . By the choice of δ , each A_i is contained in B , and so if $A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,k_i}$ are the connected components of A_i , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} |F(A_{i,j})| \leq VF(B).$$

From this and (1), we obtain

$$(3) \quad V^{\mathcal{F}}F(\text{int}_AB) \leq V^LF(\text{int}_AB) \leq VF(B);$$

in particular, $V^LF(A) = VF(A)$ by (2). Using (3), the proof is completed by the argument employed in the previous paragraph. \square

Lemma 7. *Let F be a function on a cell A . If $V^LF(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in A$, then $V^FL(A) < +\infty$.*

PROOF: Observe first F is continuous at $x \in A$ whenever $V^LF(\{x\}) = 0$. According to Remark 5, for each $y \in A$, there is an $\eta_y > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^q |F(B_j)| < 1$ for every η_y -fine McShane partition $\{(B_1, y_1), \dots, (B_q, y_q)\}$ in A anchored in $\{y\}$, i.e., with $y_1 = \dots = y_q = y$. Since A is compact, we can find points z_1, \dots, z_n in A so that A is covered by $U(z_1, \eta_{z_1}), \dots, U(z_n, \eta_{z_n})$. Define a positive gage δ on A as follows: given $x \in A$, select a $\delta(x) > 0$ so that $U(x, \delta(x))$ is contained in some $U(z_k, \eta_{z_k})$. Now each δ -fine McShane partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A is the disjoint union of families P_1, \dots, P_n such that $A_i \subset U(z_k, \eta_k)$ whenever $(A_i, x_i) \in P_k$. It follows that $\{(A_i, z_k) : (A_i, x_i) \in P_k\}$ is an η_{z_k} -fine McShane partition in A anchored in $\{z_k\}$, and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{(A_i, x_i) \in P_k} |F(A_i)| < n.$$

In view of this and Remark 5, we have $V^FL(A) \leq n$. \square

Proposition 8. *A function F in a cell A is absolutely continuous if and only if $V^L F$ is absolutely continuous.*

PROOF: Let F be absolutely continuous, and choose an $\eta > 0$ and a negligible set $E \subset A$. There is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n |F(B_j)| < \varepsilon$ for each collection B_1, \dots, B_n of nonoverlapping subcells of A with $\sum_{j=1}^n |B_j| < \eta$. Find an open set U containing E so that $|U| < \eta$, and select a positive gage δ on E such that $U(x, \delta(x)) \subset U$ for each $x \in E$. Now if $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ is a δ -fine partition in A anchored in E , then it is a partition in U . If $A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,n_i}$ are the connected components of A_i , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} |F(A_{i,j})| < \varepsilon,$$

and $V^L F(E) = 0$ by the arbitrariness of ε .

Conversely, assume that $V^L F$ is absolutely continuous, and choose an $\varepsilon > 0$. In view of Lemma 7, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $V^L F(E) < \varepsilon$ whenever $E \subset A$ and $|E| < \eta$ [14, Theorem 6.11]. If $B \subset A$ is the union of nonoverlapping cells B_1, \dots, B_n and $|B| < \eta$, then Proposition 6 implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |F(B_j)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n V F(B_j) = V F(B) = V^{DP} F(B) \leq V^L F(B) < \varepsilon,$$

establishing the absolutely continuous of F . □

We shall use the expression “ F is the indefinite integral of its derivative,” which has the following usual meaning: the function F is differentiable almost everywhere in its domain, and it is the indefinite integral of F' extended arbitrarily to the domain of F .

Theorem 9. *A function F on a cell A is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of its derivative if and only if $V^L F$ is absolutely continuous.*

PROOF: The theorem follows from Proposition 8 and [5, Theorem 4.15]. □

Corollary 10. *A function F on a cell A is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of its derivative whenever $V^{DP} F$ is absolutely continuous and $V^L F$ is σ -finite.*

PROOF: If $V^L F$ is σ -finite, then $V^L F = V^{DP} F$ by Proposition 6, and the corollary follows from Theorem 9. □

Proposition 11. *Let F be a continuous function on a cell A . If $V^{DP} F$ is absolutely continuous it is σ -finite.*

PROOF: In a roundabout way the proposition was proved in [2, Theorem 5]. We present a direct proof, which is virtually identical to that of [2, Theorem 1].

Suppose $V^{DP}F$ is absolutely continuous but not σ -finite, and denote by U_o the union of all open sets U with $V^{DP}F(A \cap U) < +\infty$. Since U_o is Lindelöf, the $V^{DP}F$ measure of $A \cap U_o$ is σ -finite. The set $K = A - U_o$ is compact, and it is easy to verify that $V^{DP}F(K \cap U) = +\infty$ for each open set U which meets K . As $V^{DP}F(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in A$, the set K is perfect.

Claim. If U is an open set which meets K , then $A \cap U$ contains a disjoint collection A_1, \dots, A_p of at least two cells such that the interior of each A_i meets K , and

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| > 1.$$

PROOF: Select a gage δ on $K \cap U$ so that $U(x, \delta(x)) \subset U$ for each $x \in K \cap U$. There is a δ -fine cellular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A anchored in $K \cap U$ such that (4) holds. By the choice of δ , each A_i is contained in $A \cap U$. Since F is continuous and K is perfect, we can modify the cells A_i so that they become disjoint, their interiors meet K , and they are still contained in $A \cap U$ and satisfy (4). If $p = 1$ and $A_1 = [a, b]$, find points c and d so that $a < c < d < b$ and both (a, c) and (d, b) meet K . As F is continuous and

$$1 < |F(A_1)| \leq |F([a, c])| + |F([c, d])| + |F([d, b])|,$$

the points c and d can be selected so that $1 < |F([a, c])| + |F([d, b])|$. Thus we may assume $p \geq 2$, and the claim is established.

Using the claim, construct inductively disjoint families $\{A_{k,1}, \dots, A_{k,p_k}\}$ of subcells of A so that the following conditions are satisfied for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

1. $K \cap \text{int } A_{k,i} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, p_k$.
2. Each $A_{k+1,j}$ is contained in some $A_{k,i}$.
3. Each $A_{k,i}$ contains at least two cells $A_{k+1,j}$.
4. $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{p_k} A_{k,i}| < 1/k$.
5. $\sum_{A_{k+1,j} \subset A_{k,i}} |F(A_{k+1,j})| > 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, p_k$.

It follows from conditions 3 and 4 that $N = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_k} A_{k,i}$ is a negligible perfect subset of A . We obtain a contradiction by showing that $V^{DP}F(N) \geq 1$.

To this end, choose a gage δ on N , and for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$N_k = \{x \in N : \delta(x) > 1/k\}.$$

Since the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k = N - N_\delta$ is G_δ , it is completely metrizable [4, Theorem 4.3.23]. By the Baire category theorem some N_s is dense in $(N - N_\delta) \cap U$, where U is an open set which meets $N - N_\delta$. There is an integer $k > s$ such that some $A_{k-1,j}$ is contained in U . Condition 4 implies that $d(A_{k,i}) < 1/s$ for $i = 1, \dots, p_k$. Hence choosing $x_i \in A_{k,i} \cap N_s$, we obtain a δ -fine cellular partition $\{(A_{k,1}, x_1), \dots, (A_{k,p_k}, x_{p_k})\}$ in A anchored in N . The desired contradiction follows from condition 5.

□

Theorem 12. *A continuous function F on a cell A is the indefinite Denjoy-Perron integral of its derivative if and only if $V^{DP}F$ is absolutely continuous.*

PROOF: The theorem follows from Proposition 11 and [1, Theorem 4.4], which asserts that F is the indefinite Denjoy-Perron integral of its derivative if and only if $V^{DP}F$ is absolutely continuous and σ -finite. \square

Theorem 13. *A continuous function F on a cell A is the indefinite \mathcal{F} -integral of its derivative if and only if $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is absolutely continuous.*

PROOF: As the converse follows from [3, Theorem 5.3], assume $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is absolutely continuous. Then $V^{DP}F$ is absolutely continuous by (1), and Theorem 12 implies that F is differentiable at each $x \in A - N$, where N is a negligible subset of A . We show that F is the indefinite \mathcal{F} -integral of the function f defined by the formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} F'(x) & \text{if } x \in A - N, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in N. \end{cases}$$

To this end, choose an $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each $x \in A - N$, find an $\eta_x > 0$ so that

$$|F'(x)|B - F(B)| < \varepsilon^2 d(B)\|B\|$$

for each figure $B \subset A \cap U(x, \eta_x)$; the existence of η_x is a readily verifiable consequence of the differentiability of F at x . By our assumption, there is a gage β on N such that $\sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| < \varepsilon$ for each β -fine ε -regular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A anchored in N . Let

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \eta_x & \text{if } x \in A - N, \\ \beta(x) & \text{if } x \in N, \end{cases}$$

and select a δ -fine ε -regular partition $\{(A_1, x_1), \dots, (A_p, x_p)\}$ in A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p |f(x)|A_i - F(A_i)| &= \sum_{x_i \in N} |F(A_i)| + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{x_i \notin N} d(B)\|B\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon \sum_{x_i \notin N} |A_i| \leq \varepsilon(1 + |A|), \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 14. *Let F be a continuous function on a cell A . If $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is absolutely continuous it is σ -finite.*

PROOF: In view of Theorem 13, the function F is the indefinite \mathcal{F} -integral of a function f on A . Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and let $E = \{x \in A : |f(x)| < n\}$. Since

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in A : |f(x)| < k\},$$

it suffices to show that $V^{\mathcal{F}}F(E) < +\infty$. To this end, choose a positive $\varepsilon \leq 1$, and find a gage δ on A so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x)|_{A_i} - F(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine ε -regular partition in A . If such a partition is anchored in E , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p |F(A_i)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p |f(x)|_{A_i} - F(A_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p |f(x)| \cdot |A_i| \\ &< \varepsilon + n \sum_{i=1}^p |A_i| \leq 1 + n|A|, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that $V^{\mathcal{F}}F(E) \leq 1 + n|A|$. \square

Corollary 15. *A continuous function F on a cell A is the indefinite \mathcal{F} -integral of its derivative whenever $V^{DP}F$ is absolutely continuous and $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is σ -finite.*

PROOF: If $V^{\mathcal{F}}F$ is σ -finite, then $V^{\mathcal{F}}F = V^{DP}F$ by Proposition 6, and the corollary follows from Theorem 13. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bongiorno B., Pfeffer W.F., Thomson B.S., *A full descriptive definition of the gage integral*, Canadian Math. Bull., in press.
- [2] Bongiorno B., Di Piazza L., Skvortsov V., *A new full descriptive characterization of Denjoy-Perron integral*, to appear.
- [3] Buczolic Z., Pfeffer W.F., *Variations of additive functions*, Czechoslovak Math. J., in press.
- [4] Engelking R., *General Topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
- [5] Gordon R.A., *The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994.
- [6] Henstock R., *A Riemann type integral of Lebesgue power*, Canadian J. Math. **20** (1968), 79–87.
- [7] Howard E.J., *Analyticity of almost everywhere differentiable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 745–753.
- [8] Kurzweil J., *Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **82** (1957), 418–446.
- [9] Kurzweil J., Jarník J., *Differentiability and integrability in n dimensions with respect to α -regular intervals*, Results Math. **21** (1992), 138–151.
- [10] McShane E.J., *A unified theory of integration*, Amer. Math. Monthly **80** (1973), 349–359.
- [11] Novikov A., Pfeffer W.F., *An invariant Riemann type integral defined by figures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 849–853.
- [12] Pfeffer W.F., *The Riemann Approach to Integration*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1993.
- [13] Pfeffer W.F., *Lectures on geometric integration and the divergence theorem*, Rend. Mat. Univ. Trieste **23** (1991), 263–314.

- [14] Rudin W., *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [15] Thomson B.S., *Derivatives of Interval Functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., #452, Providence, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CA 95616, USA

E-mail: wfpfeffer@ucdavis.edu

(Received February 1, 1996)