

## Sets of extended uniqueness and $\sigma$ -porosity

MIROSLAV ZELENÝ

*Abstract.* We show that there exists a closed non- $\sigma$ -porous set of extended uniqueness. We also give a new proof of Lyons' theorem, which shows that the class of  $H^{(n)}$ -sets is not large in  $U_0$ .

*Keywords:*  $\sigma$ -porosity, sets of extended uniqueness, trigonometric series,  $H^{(n)}$ -sets

*Classification:* Primary 42A63

Let us recall several basic notions. The symbol  $\mathbb{T}$  stands for the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  with 0 and  $2\pi$  identified. A complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is said to be *Rajchman*, if  $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow +\infty} |\hat{\mu}(n)| = 0$ , where  $\hat{\mu}(n) = \int e^{-inx} d\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A set  $P \subset \mathbb{T}$  is called a set of *extended uniqueness* if for every positive Rajchman measure  $\mu$  we have  $\mu(P) = 0$ . We denote by  $U_0$  the class of closed sets of extended uniqueness. We say that a class  $\mathcal{B} \subset U_0$  is *large in  $U_0$*  if complex Borel measure  $\mu$  is Rajchman if and only if  $\mu(P) = 0$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{B}$ . See [KL] for details.

Let  $(P, \rho)$  be a metric space. The open ball with the center  $x \in P$  and the radius  $r > 0$  is denoted by  $B(x, r)$ . Let  $M \subset P$ ,  $x \in P$ ,  $R > 0$ . Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x, R, M) &= \sup\{r > 0; \text{ for some } z \in P, B(z, r) \subset B(x, R) \setminus M\}, \\ p(x, M) &= \limsup_{R \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\gamma(x, R, M)}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

A set  $M \subset P$  is said to be *porous* if  $p(x, M) > 0$  for every  $x \in M$ . A countable union of porous sets is called  *$\sigma$ -porous set*. The class of all closed  $\sigma$ -porous subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ .

The notion of  $\sigma$ -porosity was introduced by E.P. Dolzhenko ([D]) to describe certain class of exceptional sets, which appears in the study of boundary behaviour of complex functions. There are many other results describing sets of exceptional points in terms of  $\sigma$ -porous sets (cf. [Z<sub>2</sub>]).

Each  $\sigma$ -porous subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is clearly meager. Using Lebesgue density theorem we can prove that each  $\sigma$ -porous set has Lebesgue measure zero. On the other hand there exists a meager non- $\sigma$ -porous set with Lebesgue measure zero ([Z<sub>1</sub>]). As for the sets of extended uniqueness, Borel ones have also Lebesgue measure zero

and are meager. The first fact is well-known and the second one was obtained by Debs and Saint-Raymond ([DSR]) as a solution of a longstanding open problem.

Our main goal is to show that meagerness in Debs–Saint-Raymond’s result cannot be replaced by  $\sigma$ -porosity.

We will give a new proof of Lyons’ theorem concerning largeness of the class of all  $H^{(n)}$ -sets in  $U_0$ . (See [KL] for the definition of  $H^{(n)}$ -sets.) We will use the result from [Š], which shows that each  $H^{(n)}$ -set is  $\sigma$ -porous. This result is unfortunately unpublished, but there exists a manuscript in English. See also [Z<sub>3</sub>].

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma.** *There exists a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  such that*

- (i)  $\mu$  is not Rajchman,
- (ii) for every  $\sigma$ -porous set  $P$  we have  $\mu(P) = 0$ .

We will need the following theorem to prove our Lemma.

**Theorem A** ([T]). *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  fulfilling the following conditions:*

- (i) *There exists  $d > 1$  such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{I \text{ is bounded and} \\ \text{contiguous to } \bar{S}}} \mu(d \star I) < +\infty.$$

- (ii) *There exist  $c > 1, C > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(c \star I) \leq C\mu(I)$  for every interval  $I$  with the length less than  $\delta$  and with the center in  $S$ .*
- (iii) *All countable sets are  $\mu$ -null.*

Then  $\mu(P) = 0$ , whenever  $P$  is  $\sigma$ -porous subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA: We use a modification of the construction from [T]. Let  $R$  be a closed (open) bounded interval and  $k > 0$ . Then  $k \star R$  denotes the closed (open) interval with the same center as  $R$  has and with  $k$  times greater length. Let  $(k_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. We divide closed bounded interval  $R$  into  $2^{k_n+2}$  many closed subintervals with the same length and with pairwise disjoint interiors. Let  $\mathcal{R}_n(R)$  be the set of all intervals mentioned above without these intervals, which contain the center of the interval  $R$ . We define sets of closed intervals as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \{[0, 2\pi]\}, \quad \mathcal{R}_n = \bigcup \{\mathcal{R}_n(R); R \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}\}.$$

We define inductively a function  $\tau : \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\tau([0, 2\pi]) = 1$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all intervals  $R \in \mathcal{R}_n, R' \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$  with  $R \subset R'$  we put

$$\tau(R) = \begin{cases} \alpha 2^{-2k_n-1} \tau(R'), & \text{for } R \subset 2^{-k_n} \star R', \\ 3\alpha 2^{-k_n-k-2} \tau(R'), & \text{for } \text{Int } R \subset 2^{-k+1} \star R' \setminus 2^{-k} \star R', k \in \{2, \dots, k_n\} \\ 3\beta 2^{-k_n-3} \tau(R'), & \text{for } \text{Int } R \subset R' \setminus \frac{1}{2} \star R', \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{4}{7}$  and  $\beta = \frac{8}{7}$ . Since

$$\sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_n, R \subset R'} \tau(R) = \tau(R') \text{ for every } R' \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1},$$

there exists Borel measure  $\mu$  such that  $\text{supp } \mu \subset S = \bigcap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \bigcup \{R; R \in \mathcal{R}_n\}$  and  $\mu(I) = \tau(I)$ , whenever  $I \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{R}_n$ .

Observe the following fact:

( $\star$ ) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K, L \in \mathcal{R}_n$ ,  $\partial K \cap \partial L \neq \emptyset$  we have  $\mu(K) \geq \frac{1}{4}\mu(L)$ .

At first we show that  $\mu(P) = 0$  for each  $\sigma$ -porous set  $P$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\mu$  fulfills the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from Theorem A.

Ad (i): Putting  $d = 2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}} \mu(2 \star (2^{-kn-1} \star \text{Int } R)) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}} \alpha 2^{-2kn} \mu(R) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \alpha 2^{-2kn} \leq \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-2n} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Ad (ii): We will show that this condition is fulfilled for  $c = 2$ ,  $C = 148$  and  $\delta = 4\pi$ . Let  $J$  be an interval with the center  $x \in S$  such that the length of  $J$  is less than  $4\pi$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest natural number such that there exists intervals  $R' \in \mathcal{R}_n$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$  such that  $x \in R' \subset J \cap R$ . Let  $Q = J \cap \bigcup_{k=0}^{k_n+1} \partial(2^{-k} \star R)$ . We distinguish the two cases.

(1) The number of elements of  $Q$  is less or equal to 1. It implies that

$$(\star\star) \quad 2^{-kn-1} \star R \cap J = \emptyset.$$

Let  $K_1, \dots, K_p$  be these intervals from the set  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , which are contained in  $J$  and  $L_1, \dots, L_q$  be these intervals from  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , which intersect the set  $S \cap 2 \star J$ . Thus we have  $S \cap 2 \star J \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^q L_i$ . Let  $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be the length of the intervals from  $\mathcal{R}_n$ . The length of the interval  $J$  is at most  $(p+2)r_n$ . (We used the fact ( $\star\star$ )). It implies that the length of  $2 \star J$  is at most  $(2p+4)r_n$ . Therefore  $q \leq 2p+5$ . Now fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ . We distinguish the following possibilities.

(a) Suppose that  $L_i \subset R$ . Let  $x \in 2^{-l} \star R \setminus 2^{-l-1} \star R$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $\text{dist}(\text{center}(R), L_i) \leq \text{dist}(\text{center}(R), K_j)$ , then  $\mu(K_j) \geq \mu(L_i)$ . Suppose that  $\text{dist}(\text{center}(R), L_i) > \text{dist}(\text{center}(R), K_j)$ . We have  $L_i \subset 2^{-l+1} \star R \cap R$  and  $K_j \cap 2^{-l-2} \star R = \emptyset$ . From the fact ( $\star$ ) we obtain that  $\mu(K_j) \geq \frac{1}{16}\mu(L_i)$ .

(b) Suppose that  $L_i \not\subset R$ . Then there exists an interval  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$  such that  $\partial R \cap \partial \tilde{R} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L_i \subset \tilde{R}$ . From ( $\star$ ) we have  $\mu(R) \geq \frac{1}{4}\mu(\tilde{R})$ . Observing  $K_j \cap \frac{1}{4} \star R = \emptyset$  we can conclude  $\mu(K_j) \geq \frac{1}{16}\mu(L_i)$ .

We have proved that

$$\min\{\mu(K_1), \dots, \mu(K_p)\} \geq \frac{1}{16} \max\{\mu(L_1), \dots, \mu(L_q)\}.$$

It gives

$$\frac{\mu(2 \star J)}{\mu(J)} \leq \frac{16(2p + 5)}{p} \leq 112.$$

(2) The number of elements of  $Q$  is greater or equal to 2. Let  $k$  be the smallest natural number from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k_n + 1\}$  such that  $J \cap \partial(2^{-k+1} \star R) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have

$$\mu(J) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu(2^{-k+1} \star R \setminus 2^{-k} \star R).$$

We also have  $2 \star J \subset 2^{-k+3} \star R$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(2 \star J) &\leq \mu(2^{-k+3} \star R \setminus 2^{-k+2} \star R) + \mu(2^{-k+2} \star R \setminus 2^{-k+1} \star R) \\ &\quad + \mu(2^{-k+1} \star R \setminus 2^{-k} \star R) + \mu(2^{-k} \star R) \\ &\leq (16 \cdot 4 + 4 \cdot 2 + 1 + 1) \mu(2^{-k+1} \star R \setminus 2^{-k} \star R). \end{aligned}$$

It gives that

$$\frac{\mu(2 \star J)}{\mu(J)} \leq 148.$$

Ad (iii): This condition is clearly fulfilled.

Now we show that  $\mu$  is not a Rajchman measure. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The intervals from  $\mathcal{R}_n$  have the length  $r_n$ . The number  $\frac{2\pi}{r_n}$  is clearly natural. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \frac{2\pi}{r_n} x \, d\mu &= \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_n} \int_R \cos \frac{2\pi}{r_n} x \, d\mu \geq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu(R \setminus \frac{3}{4} \star R) - \mu(\frac{1}{2} \star R) \right) \\ &= \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_n} \left( \frac{1}{2} 3\beta 2^{-k_{n+1}-3} 2^{k_{n+1}} \mu(R) - (\mu(R) - 3\beta 2^{-k_{n+1}-3} 2^{k_{n+1}+1} \mu(R)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_n} \left( \frac{3\beta}{16} - (1 - \frac{3\beta}{4}) \right) \mu(R) = \frac{15\beta}{16} - 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that  $\mu$  is not Rajchman. □

The fundamental theorem concerning largeness in  $U_0$  is due to Lyons and reads as follows.

**Theorem ([L]).** *The class  $U_0$  is large in  $U_0$ .*

Now we are able to prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem.** *There exists a closed non- $\sigma$ -porous set of extended uniqueness.*

PROOF: Suppose that  $U_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ . Then the measure  $\mu$  from Lemma must be Rajchman according to the previous Theorem. This contradiction proves our Theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem ([L]).** *The class  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} H^{(n)}$  is not large in  $U_0$ .*

PROOF: According to [Š] we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} H^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ . We also have that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} H^{(n)} \subset U_0$  (cf. [KL]). The class  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} H^{(n)}$  is not large since  $\mathcal{P}_\sigma \cap U_0$  is not large as Lemma shows.  $\square$

*Remark* The question, whether  $\mathcal{P}_\sigma \subset U_0$ , has the negative answer too (cf. [Z<sub>2</sub>]). The Salem-Zygmund theorem gives that there exists a symmetric perfect set of constant ratio of dissection, which is not the set of extended uniqueness (cf. [KL]). But it is easy to see that this set is porous (cf. [Z<sub>2</sub>]). This answers the question, which was posed in [BKR].

*Remark* Let us note that there exists also a closed non- $\sigma$ -porous set of uniqueness, but the proof of this result is much more complicated than the proof for sets of extended uniqueness and uses a completely different method. The proof will appear in a subsequent paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [BKR] Bukovský L., Kholshchevnikova N.N., Repický M., *Thin sets of harmonic analysis and infinite combinatorics*, Real Analysis Exchange **20.2** (1994-95), 454–509.
- [DSR] Debs G., Saint-Raymond J., *Ensembles boréliens d'unicité et d'unicité au sens large*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **37** (1987), 217–239.
- [D] Dolzhenko E.P., *Boundary properties of arbitrary functions* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967), 3–14.
- [KL] Kechris A., Louveau A., *Descriptive Set Theory and the Structure of Sets of Uniqueness*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [L] Lyons R., *The size of some classes of thin sets*, Studia Math. **86.1** (1987), 59–78.
- [T] Tkadlec J., *Construction of a finite Borel measure with  $\sigma$ -porous sets as null sets*, Real Analysis Exchange **12** (1986-87), 349–353.
- [Š] Šleich P., *Sets of type  $H^{(s)}$  are  $\sigma$ -bilaterally porous*, unpublished.
- [Z<sub>1</sub>] Zajíček L., *Sets of  $\sigma$ -porosity and sets of  $\sigma$ -porosity( $q$ )*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **101** (1976), 350–359.
- [Z<sub>2</sub>] Zajíček L., *Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porosity*, Real Analysis Exchange **13** (1987-88), 314–350.
- [Z<sub>3</sub>] Zajíček L., *A note on  $\sigma$ -porous sets*, Real Analysis Exchange **17.1** (1991-92), 18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS,  
CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* zeleny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received February 16, 1996)