

## Convex functions with non-Borel set of Gâteaux differentiability points

P. HOLICKÝ, M. ŠMÍDEK, L. ZAJÍČEK

*Abstract.* We show that on every nonseparable Banach space which has a fundamental system (e.g. on every nonseparable weakly compactly generated space, in particular on every nonseparable Hilbert space) there is a convex continuous function  $f$  such that the set of its Gâteaux differentiability points is not Borel. Thereby we answer a question of J. Rainwater (1990) and extend, in the same time, a former result of M. Talagrand (1979), who gave an example of such a function  $f$  on  $\ell^1(\mathfrak{c})$ .

*Keywords:* convex function, Gâteaux differentiability points, Borel set, fundamental system

*Classification:* Primary 46G05; Secondary 46B20

### 0. Introduction

In [18, Theorem 1], M. Talagrand proved the existence of a convex continuous function  $f : \ell^1([0, \omega_{\mathfrak{c}}]) (= \ell^1(\mathfrak{c})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the set of points at which  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable has any prescribed intersection with a fixed one-dimensional subspace of  $\ell^1(\mathfrak{c})$ .

Hence there is a continuous convex  $f$  on  $X = \ell^1(\mathfrak{c})$  such that the set  $G(f)$  of Gâteaux differentiability points of  $f$  is non-Borel. Clearly,  $f$  can be chosen even such that  $G(f)$  does not have the Baire property in the restricted sense (i.e.  $G(f) \cap F$  has not the Baire property in some closed  $F \subset X$ ).

On the other hand, it is known that, for some Banach spaces  $X$ ,  $G(f)$  must be a “nice set” for each continuous convex function  $f$  on  $X$ :

(i) By the classical Mazur theorem the set  $G(f)$  is a residual  $G_{\delta}$  set whenever  $X$  is a separable Banach space (see [13, Theorem 1.20]).

(ii) If  $X$  is a weak Asplund space, then  $G(f)$  is residual and thus it has the Baire property.

Rainwater ([15, p. 320]) asked whether the set  $G(f)$  is necessarily Borel if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous convex and  $X$  is a GDS space. (A space  $X$  is GDS if  $G(f)$  is dense for every continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .) Notice that obviously every weak Asplund space is GDS and, of course,  $\ell^1(\mathfrak{c})$  is not GDS.

---

Our investigation was supported by GAUK 190/1996, GAČR 201/94/0069, GAČR 201/94/0474.

In our Theorem (see Section 3) we give a negative answer to the above question. In fact, for “almost all” standard nonseparable spaces  $X$ , we show that  $G(f)$  can be non-Borel and, under continuum hypothesis, even that  $G(f)$  may not have the Baire property in the restricted sense.

As Talagrand in [18], we construct a continuous convex function  $f$  with any prescribed intersection of  $G(f)$  with a fixed one-dimensional subspace of  $X$ . However, the method of our construction of  $f$  is different.

We leave the following question open.

**Question 1.** *Is there some nonseparable Banach space  $X$  such that the set  $G(f)$  has the Baire property in the restricted sense (or is even Borel) if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous convex function?*

For example, we do not know whether  $C(K)$ , with  $K$  a Kunen compact, gives the positive answer to Question 1.

We do not know either the answer to the following question which is natural in connection with (ii) above.

**Question 2.** *Is there a Banach space  $X$  which is not a weak Asplund space and such that  $G(f)$  has the Baire property for every continuous convex function  $f$  on  $X$ ?*

We conclude the introduction by pointing out some further notation. We write  $C(f)$  for the set of all points of continuity of  $f$  and  $D(f)$  for the set of all points of discontinuity of  $f$ . By  $f'_G(x)$  we denote the Gâteaux derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ , and  $D_h^+ f$  stands for the one-sided derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  in direction  $h$ , i.e.  $D_h^+ f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+th) - f(x)}{t}$ .

We also use the notation  $Ae = \{ae \mid a \in A\}$  for  $e \in X$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

### 1. A construction of convex functions

We get our results by using only one method of construction of continuous convex functions which differs from Talagrand’s one and originates in [20].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $Y \subset X$  a closed linear subspace of codimension one,  $e \in X \setminus Y$  with  $\|e\| = 1$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  and  $M > 0$  be such that  $\|g(r)\| \leq M$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $G(f) \cap \mathbb{R}e = C(g)e$ .*

PROOF: Every point  $x \in X$  can be uniquely written in the form  $x = y_x + r_x e$ , where  $y_x \in Y$  and  $r_x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We shall use this notation in what follows.

Let us define  $a_r : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , a continuous affine function for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$(1) \quad a_r(x) = g(r)(y_x) + r^2 + 2r(r_x - r) \quad (= g(r)(y_x) + r_x^2 - (r_x - r)^2).$$

So

$$(2) \quad a_r(x) \leq \|g(r)\| \|y_x\| + r_x^2 \leq M \|y_x\| + r_x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_r(re) = r^2$$

for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let us define the function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} a_r(x).$$

Since all  $a_r$  are affine,  $f$  is convex. We see from (2) that

$$(4) \quad f(x) \leq M\|y_x\| + r_x^2$$

and so  $f$  is locally bounded from above. As such it is a locally Lipschitz function. (It follows e.g. from the fact that due to (3), or the convexity itself, it is also locally bounded from below and we can use [13, Proposition 1.6] and the remark following its proof.) Due to (4), (3), and (2), we have the identity

$$(5) \quad f(re) = r^2 \text{ for every } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1. Let  $r \in C(g)$  and  $h \in X$  be fixed. We shall show that

$$D_h^+ f(re) = g(r)(y_h) + 2rr_h,$$

i.e. that the continuous linear function  $\varphi(h) = g(r)(y_h) + 2rr_h$  is the Gâteaux derivative of  $f$  at  $re$ .

Since  $D_h^+ f(re) = 2rr_h$  for  $h \in \mathbb{R}e$  by (5) and  $f$  is convex and continuous, it suffices to prove that  $D_h^+ f(re) = g(r)(h)$  for  $h \in Y$  (the sufficiency of this condition is quite simple, it follows easily from the fact that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $re$  if and only if the subdifferential  $\partial f(re) \subset X^*$  of  $f$  at  $re$  contains (at most) one element).

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. The mapping  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  is continuous at  $r$  and so there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad |g(s)(h) - g(r)(h)| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } s \in (r - \delta, r + \delta).$$

Hence, for  $t > 0$  and  $s \in (r - \delta, r + \delta)$ , we have, using also (1),

$$(7) \quad a_s(th + re) \leq g(s)(th) + r^2 \leq g(r)(th) + r^2 + t\varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, if  $|s - r| \geq \delta$ , then the following relations hold.

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} a_s(th + re) &= g(s)(th) + r^2 - (s - r)^2 \leq g(s)(th) + r^2 - \delta^2 = \\ &= g(r)(th) + r^2 + t\varepsilon + [g(s)(th) - g(r)(th) - t\varepsilon - \delta^2]. \end{aligned}$$

There is a  $\theta = \theta(r, \varepsilon, h) > 0$  such that, for  $|t| < \theta$ ,

$$(9) \quad g(s)(th) - g(r)(th) - t\varepsilon - \delta^2 \leq 2M\theta\|h\| + \theta\varepsilon - \delta^2 \leq 0,$$

and we conclude that, by (7), (8), and (9),

$$(10) \quad a_s(th + re) \leq g(r)(th) + r^2 + t\varepsilon$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|t| < \theta$ . By (3) and (10) we get the inequality

$$(11) \quad f(th + re) \leq g(r)(th) + r^2 + t\varepsilon$$

for  $|t| < \theta$ .

The one-sided derivative of the convex function  $f$  at  $re$  in direction  $h \in Y$  can be now, using (5) and (11), estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} D_h^+ f(re) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{1}{t} (f(th + re) - f(re)) \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{1}{t} (g(r)(th) + r^2 + t\varepsilon - r^2) = g(r)(h) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we get

$$(12) \quad D_h^+ f(re) \leq g(r)(h).$$

We also have

$$(13) \quad g(r)(h) \geq D_h^+ f(re) \geq -D_{-h}^+ f(re) \geq g(r)(h).$$

The last equality follows from (12) used for  $-h$  instead of  $h$ . The second inequality uses the convexity of  $f$ . Now, (13) gives

$$D_h^+ f(re) = g(r)(h)$$

and we have proved that  $re \in G(f)$ .

2. Now, let  $r \notin C(g)$ . Since  $a_s + s^2$  is contained in the subdifferential of  $f$  at  $se$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  due to (3) and (5), and  $g$  is not continuous at  $r$ , we see that the selection of the subdifferential which maps every  $se$  to the element  $a_s + s^2$  of the subdifferential of  $f$  at  $se$  is not norm to weak star continuous at  $re$ , because  $(a_s + s^2) \upharpoonright Y = g(s)$  by (1), and thus the function  $f$  is not Gâteaux differentiable at  $re$  (see [13, the proof of Proposition 2.8]).  $\square$

Now we shall describe a way how to obtain a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  with a prescribed set  $D(f)$  of discontinuity points. To this end we need the existence of a special family of functionals in  $Y^*$ .

**Definition.** We say that a set  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^*$  of functionals on the Banach space  $Y$  is a *system with property  $(Z_0)$*  if every injective sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to zero in  $(Y^*, w^*)$ . An uncountable system of nonzero functionals of norm at most one,  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^*$ , with property  $(Z_0)$  is called a *Z-system* or, equivalently, a *system with property  $(Z)$* .

*Remark 1.* If  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is an uncountable family of elements of  $Y^*$  with property  $(Z_0)$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f \in Y^* \mid f = \frac{g}{\max(1, \|g\|)}, g \in \mathcal{F}^* \setminus \{0\}\}$  is a system with property  $(Z)$ . The cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the same as that of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  because the sets of functionals  $g \in \mathcal{F}^*$  with norm greater than one and the same  $\frac{g}{\|g\|}$  are clearly finite.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^*$  have property  $(Z)$ . Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set of cardinality at most  $\text{card } \mathcal{F}$ . Then there is a mapping  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  such that  $D = D(g)$ .*

PROOF: We put  $g(r) = 0 \in Y^*$  if  $r \notin D$ . Let  $g \upharpoonright (D \setminus D^o)$  be any one-to-one map of  $D \setminus D^o$  into  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $g(r) = [\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(r) \min(1, \text{dist}(r, \mathbb{R} \setminus D^o))] \cdot f$  for  $r \in D^o$ , where  $f$  is any element of  $Y^* \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denotes the characteristic function of the set of all rational numbers. (We denote the interior of  $D$  by  $D^o$ .)

The mapping  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  is obviously discontinuous at each point  $t \in D^o$  and  $\|g(r)\| \leq 1$  for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $t \in D \setminus D^o$ . There is a  $y \in Y$  such that  $g(t)(y) \neq 0$ . But  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R} \setminus D}$  and  $g(s)(y) = 0$  for  $s \notin D$ . Hence  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (Y^*, w^*)$  is not continuous at  $t$ .

Now, let  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D$  and so  $g(t) = 0$ . We have  $g(r) = 0$  for  $r \notin D$ ,  $\|g(r)\| \leq \text{dist}(r, \mathbb{R} \setminus D^o) \leq |r - t|$  for  $r \in D^o$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t, r \in D \setminus D^o} g(r) = 0$  by property  $(Z)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Therefore  $g$  is continuous at  $t$ . □

Due to Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we come immediately to the following conclusion.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $Y$  be a closed linear subspace of  $X$  and  $R$  be a one-dimensional subspace of  $X$ , and let  $X = Y \oplus R$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^*$  be a set with property  $(Z)$ . Then, for every  $D \subset R$  of cardinality at most that of  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is a convex continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $R \setminus G(f) = D$ .*

PROOF: We use Lemma 2 to get  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^*$  and we use it as in Lemma 1 to get  $f$  with the desired properties. □

*Remark 2.* Let us point out that our above construction works with small changes as well if we suppose that  $X = Y \oplus H$ , where  $H$  is a nontrivial Hilbert subspace of  $X$ ,  $Y$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset Y^*$  is a  $Z$ -system. Having a set  $D \subset H$  of cardinality at most that of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can construct  $g : H \rightarrow Y^*$  like in Lemma 2 and we get, as in Lemma 1, a convex continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $G(f) \cap H = H \setminus D$ .

We can see immediately that the orthonormal basis in any nonseparable Hilbert space  $H$  gives an example of a set of functionals having property  $(Z)$ . Thus, by

Lemma 3, for any one-dimensional subspace  $R$  and any  $D \subset R$  of cardinality at most the weight of  $H$ , there is a convex continuous function  $f$  with  $R \setminus G(f) = D$ . If the weight of  $H$  is continuum, we get a result analogous to that of M. Talagrand for  $H$  instead of  $\ell^1([0, \omega_c])$ .

## 2. Systems with property (Z)

Now, we are going to give several sufficient and several necessary conditions for a Banach space to admit a family of functionals having property (Z) of given cardinality.

We recall that a system  $(x_a, f_a)_{a \in A} \subset X \times X^*$  which is *biorthogonal* (i.e.  $f_a(x_b) = \delta_{a,b}$  for  $a, b \in A$ ) is called a *fundamental system* of  $X$  if the linear span of the set  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$  is dense in  $X$ , i.e. if  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$  is *complete*.

It is easy to check and well-known (remarked also in [6]) that a system  $(x_a)_{a \in A}$  is minimal if and only if there are  $f_a, a \in A$ , such that  $(x_a, f_a)_{a \in A}$  is biorthogonal. Hence there is a fundamental system  $(x_a, f_a)_{a \in A}$  on  $X$  if and only if there is a minimal system  $(x_a)_{a \in A}$  which is complete in  $X$ . We recall that  $(x_a)_{a \in A}$  is a *minimal system* in a Banach space  $X$  if no proper subsystem has the same closed linear span as  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$  itself (see [6]).

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonseparable Banach space with a (uncountable) fundamental system  $(x_a, f_a)_{a \in A}$ . Then there is a system  $\mathcal{F}$  with property (Z) and the same cardinality as  $A$ .*

PROOF: We put  $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \frac{f_a}{\|f_a\|} \mid a \in A \right\}$  and notice that  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the same cardinality as  $A$  by the properties of the fundamental system. Now any injective sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  converges pointwise to zero on  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$ . Since the functionals in  $\mathcal{F}$  are of norm one and the linear span of  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$  is dense in  $X$ , the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to zero on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  has property (Z).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous linear surjection. If  $Y^*$  contains a system  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  with property (Z), then  $X^*$  contains a system  $\mathcal{F}_X$  with property (Z) such that  $\text{card } \mathcal{F}_X = \text{card } \mathcal{F}_Y$ .*

*In particular, if  $Y$  is a complemented subspace of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_Y \subset Y^*$  is a system with property (Z), then there is a system  $\mathcal{F}_X \subset X^*$  with property (Z) and the same cardinality as  $\mathcal{F}_Y$ .*

PROOF: It suffices to put  $\mathcal{F}_X^* = \{f \circ L \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_Y\}$  and use Remark 1. If  $Y$  is a complemented subspace of  $X$  we take some continuous linear projection of  $X$  onto  $Y$  for  $L$  first.  $\square$

**Example 1.** The Banach space  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  admits a system of functionals with property (Z) and cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  because it is proved in [6, Theorem] that  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  contains a minimal complete system of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

**Example 2.** Every Banach space that is weakly Lindelöf determined (i.e. the closed dual unit ball is a Corson compact endowed with the weak-star topology, see [1, Proposition 1.2]) admits a fundamental system, even a Markushevich basis ([19, Theorem 2]). Thus also all weakly countably determined or weakly compactly generated spaces as well as all reflexive spaces have a fundamental system.

**Example 3.** Every space  $C(K)$  of continuous functions on a Valdivia compact  $K$  has a Markushevich basis and thus also a fundamental system. The existence of a Markushevich basis of  $C(K)$  follows by the standard induction procedure from [3, Remark 7.7, p. 256].

**Example 4.** Unfortunately, there are nonseparable spaces which do not have a fundamental system. The space  $\ell_c^\infty(\Gamma)$  of bounded functions having countable support in  $\Gamma$  for any set  $\Gamma$  of cardinality greater than  $\mathfrak{c}$  has no fundamental system ([14, Theorem 3]). For more examples of subspaces of  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  without a fundamental system see [8, Theorem 1]. Nevertheless, every space  $\ell_c^\infty(\Gamma)$  with  $\Gamma$  infinite contains clearly a complemented subspace isometric to  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  (any restriction of elements of  $\ell_c^\infty(\Gamma)$  to a countable subset of  $\Gamma$  is a projection to such a subspace). In Example 1 above we remarked that  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  admits a system of functionals with property (Z) and cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ . So by Proposition 2 there is a system of functionals on  $\ell_c^\infty(\Gamma)$  with property (Z) and cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

**Example 5.** The space  $C(K)$  of continuous functions on the Kunen compact, the existence of which was proved under the continuum hypothesis (see e.g. [12, Chapter 7]), is another well-known example of a space having no fundamental system. We shall show that  $(C(K)^*, w^*)$  is hereditarily separable and that (therefore) there is no system with property (Z) in  $C(K)^*$ .

Before it we notice several obvious properties of a system with property  $(Z_0)$ , and thus also of a system with property (Z), in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  has property  $(Z_0)$ , then  $(\mathcal{F}, w^*)$  is a discrete space and  $K = \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$  is a compact and sequentially compact subset of  $(X^*, w^*)$ .*

PROOF: Let us suppose that  $0 \neq f \in X^*$  is a  $w^*$ -accumulation point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We find  $w^*$ -open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X^*$  such that  $0 \in U$ ,  $f \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Now  $\mathcal{F} \cap V$  is infinite and so we can choose an injective sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $V \cap \mathcal{F} \subset X^* \setminus U$ . The property  $(Z_0)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  ensures that  $(f_n)$  tends to zero in  $(X^*, w^*)$ , but this is a contradiction.

It follows that  $(\mathcal{F}, w^*)$  is discrete and  $K$  is  $w^*$ -closed and thus  $w^*$ -compact because  $K \subset B_{X^*}$ . Obviously,  $K$  is  $w^*$ -sequentially compact.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $X^*$  contains a system with property (Z), then  $(X^*, w^*)$  is not hereditarily separable.*

*Remark 3.* Example 6, and the remark before it, show that we cannot write separable instead of hereditarily separable in the above corollary.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $K$  is a nonmetrizable scattered compact space, or equivalently, an uncountable scattered compact space, such that  $K^n$  is hereditarily separable for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , e.g. the Kunen compact considered in Example 5, then  $(C(K)^*, w^*)$  is hereditarily separable and therefore there is no system with property (Z) in  $C(K)^*$ .*

PROOF: Let us define  $T : K^{\mathbb{N}} \times \ell^1 \rightarrow C(K)^*$  by

$$T((k_i), (a_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \delta_{k_i},$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac measure in the point  $x \in K$ . It is well known and easy to prove that the mapping  $T$  is surjective as  $K$  is scattered.

We shall show that  $T$  is continuous if we consider  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the product topology,  $\ell^1$  with the norm topology and  $C(K)^*$  with the  $w^*$ -topology. Let  $\mu = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \delta_{k_i} \in C(K)^*$ , where  $a = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$  and  $k = (k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Further let  $f \in C(K)$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

There is a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{i > n} |a_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ . There is an open set  $U \subset K^{\mathbb{N}}$  containing  $k$  such that

$$(\forall (l_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in U) \quad (\forall i \leq n) \quad (|f(k_i) - f(l_i)|) \|a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

For  $((l_i), (b_i)) \in U \times B(a, \frac{\varepsilon}{5})$ , where  $B(a, r)$  denotes the open ball with center  $a$  and radius  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu - T((l_i), (b_i)))(f)| &\leq \sum_{i \leq n} |a_i f(k_i) - b_i f(l_i)| + \sum_{i > n} [|a_i| |f(k_i)| + |b_i| |f(l_i)|] \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i \leq n} [(|a_i f(k_i) - a_i f(l_i)| + |a_i f(l_i) - b_i f(l_i)|)] + \sum_{i > n} (|a_i| + |b_i|) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{i \leq n} |f(k_i) - f(l_i)| \|a\| + \|a - b\| \|f\| + \sum_{i > n} |a_i| + \sum_{i > n} (|a_i| + |b_i - a_i|) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  is continuous.

The product  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is hereditarily separable because each  $K^n$  is. Namely, let  $A$  be any subset of  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we choose a countable set  $T_n \subset K^n$  which is dense in the projection  $p_n(A)$  of  $A$  to the “first  $n$  coordinates”. Let  $S_n$  be an arbitrary at most countable subset of  $A$  which projects onto  $T_n$  by  $p_n$ . Put  $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ . The set  $S$  is obviously at most countable and dense in  $A$ .

As  $\ell^1$  is a separable metric space and  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is hereditarily separable,  $K^{\mathbb{N}} \times \ell^1$  is also hereditarily separable. Namely, let  $A \subset K^{\mathbb{N}} \times \ell^1$  be arbitrary. Let  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable base of open sets of  $\ell^1$  and choose an at most countable dense subset  $L_n$  of  $\pi_{K^{\mathbb{N}}}((K^{\mathbb{N}} \times B_n) \cap A)$  and  $S_n \subset (K^{\mathbb{N}} \times B_n) \cap A$  an at most countable set



such that  $\pi_{K^{\mathbb{N}}}S_n = L_n$ . The set  $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$  is at most countable and dense in  $A$ .

So  $K^{\mathbb{N}} \times \ell^1$  is hereditarily separable and hence  $C(K)^*$  is also  $w^*$ -hereditarily separable due to the continuity of  $T$ .

Thus there is no system with property (Z) in  $(C(K))^*$  by the corollary of Proposition 3. □

We may notice that the space  $\ell^\infty$ , which can be identified with the space of continuous functions on the separable compact space  $K = \beta\mathbb{N}$ , is an example of a Banach space  $X$  for which  $(X^*, w^*)$  is separable, but a system of functionals in  $X^*$  with property (Z) still exists (see Example 1). In this example,  $K$  is not hereditarily separable. The next example shows that even the heredity of the separability of  $K$  cannot help to exclude the existence of a system with property (Z) in  $C(K)^*$ .

**Example 6.** Let  $K$  be the “double-arrow” space (see [18],  $K = \{x \mid x \in (0, 1]\} \cup \{x^+ \mid x \in [0, 1)\}$ ). Then  $K$  is a hereditarily separable compact space and there is a system with property (Z) in  $(C(K))^*$  (in particular,  $(C(K)^*, w^*)$  is not hereditarily separable).

The space  $((C(K))^*, w^*)$  is separable since the signed measures with finite support in some countable dense subset of  $K$  form a dense subset.

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set  $\{\mu_x \mid x \in (0, 1)\}$  of all measures  $\mu_x = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{x^+} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_x$ . The set  $\mathcal{F}$  has cardinality of continuum and it has property (Z) because  $(f(x^+) - f(x))_{x \in (0,1)} \in c_0((0,1))$  for every  $f \in C(K)$ . The last statement is a well known and easy fact. We can get the system with property (Z) in  $(C(K))^*$  also by Proposition 2 and Example 2 due to the fact that the WCG space  $c_0([0,1])$  is a quotient space of  $C(K)$ .

In our only example of a nonseparable space  $X$  with no system of continuous functionals with property (Z),  $(X^*, w^*)$  is hereditarily separable. We do not know whether the existence of a system with property (Z) in  $X^*$  can be characterized by the existence of a (special)  $w^*$ -nonseparable subset of  $X^*$ .

**Question 3.** *Does a system of functionals with property (Z) exist in every dual space  $(X^*, w^*)$  which contains a weak-star closed nonseparable sequentially compact (or a weak-star nonseparable compact) subset?*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $Y$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $S = X/Y$ . Let  $S$  be separable (or  $(S^*, w^*)$  be hereditarily separable) and let  $\mathcal{F}_X \subset X^*$  be a system with property (Z). Then there is a system  $\mathcal{F}_Y \subset Y^*$  with property (Z) and the same cardinality as  $\mathcal{F}_X$ .*

**PROOF:** It is not difficult to verify that the separability of  $S$  implies that  $(S^*, w^*)$  is hereditarily separable. Thus we suppose the latter condition in what follows.

We consider the set  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  of restrictions of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  to  $Y$ . It is obvious that it is a system with property  $(Z_0)$  and thus, by Remark 1, the only fact to prove is that the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  and of  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  are equal.

Let  $R : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  be the restriction operator.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_Y$  be fixed and non-zero. We consider the set  $R^{-1}(f)$ . It is finite by property (Z).

Every element  $g \in R^{-1}(0)$  can be expressed in the form  $g = h_g \circ L$ , with  $h_g \in S^*$  and  $L : X \rightarrow S$  the quotient map, in a unique form. As  $\mathcal{F}_X$  has property (Z),  $\mathcal{F}_S = \{h_g \mid g \in \mathcal{F}_X \cap R^{-1}(0)\}$  has (Z<sub>0</sub>). Thus, by Remark 1 and the corollary of Proposition 3,  $\mathcal{F}_S$  is at most countable and the same is true for  $\mathcal{F}_X \cap R^{-1}(0)$ .

Hence the (uncountable) cardinalities of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  and of  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  coincide and the proof is finished. □

*Remark 4.* In particular, we may apply Lemma 4 when  $X = Y \oplus S$ ,  $Y, S$  are closed subspaces of  $X$  and  $S$  is separable.

The existence of a system of functionals with property (Z) on a nonseparable space seems to be related to the deep Josefson-Niessenzweig theorem, see e.g. [2, Chapter XII]. We are going to give another sufficient condition for the existence of a system with property (Z) which is closely related to a part of the proof of Josefson-Niessenzweig theorem in [2, p. 223].

We formulate first an easy lemma which gives a characterization of Banach spaces  $X$  for which a system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  with property (Z) exists.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Let  $\Gamma$  be an uncountable set with cardinality  $\kappa$ . Then there is a subset of  $X^*$  with cardinality  $\kappa$  and with property (Z) if and only if there is a continuous linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{dens } T(X) = \kappa$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  be a family of elements of  $X^*$  which has property (Z) and let  $\text{card } \mathcal{F} = \kappa$ . We define the operator  $T$  by  $T(x) = (f(x))_f \in \mathcal{F}$ , for  $x \in X$ . As  $\mathcal{F}$  has the property (Z), we easily obtain that  $T(x) \in c_0(\mathcal{F})$  for every  $x \in X$  and that  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\mathcal{F})$  is a bounded operator. Now let  $\gamma$  be the least ordinal of cardinality  $\kappa$ . For every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we choose  $x_f \in X$  such that  $f(x_f) = 1$ . By property (Z), the set  $A_f = \{g \in \mathcal{F} \mid g(x_f) \neq 0\}$  is obviously at most countable. Now we will construct by induction a transfinite sequence  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f_\beta(x_{f_\alpha}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma$ . We choose an arbitrary  $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now let  $\beta < \gamma$  and suppose that  $f_\alpha, \alpha < \beta$  are already chosen. Since  $\text{card} \left( \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_{f_\alpha} \right) \leq \aleph_0 \text{card } \beta \leq \max(\aleph_0, \text{card } \beta) < \text{card } \gamma$ , we can choose  $f_\beta \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_{f_\alpha}$ . Clearly  $f_\beta(x_{f_\alpha}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma$ . For  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma$  we have  $\|T(x_{f_\alpha}) - T(x_{f_\beta})\| \geq \|f_\beta(x_{f_\alpha}) - f_\beta(x_{f_\beta})\| = 1$ . Thus  $\text{dens } T(X) \geq \text{card } \gamma = \kappa$ . Since clearly  $\text{dens } T(X) \leq \text{dens } c_0(\Gamma) = \kappa$ , as  $\text{card } \Gamma$  is infinite, we have  $\text{dens } T(X) = \kappa$ . Identifying  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , we obtain the first implication.

Now suppose that there exists a continuous linear mapping  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{dens } T(X) = \kappa$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  put  $f_\gamma(x) = (T(x))_\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \setminus \{0\}$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}$  has clearly the property (Z<sub>0</sub>) and  $\|f\| \leq 1$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We choose  $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma$

such that  $f_{\gamma_1} \neq f_{\gamma_2}$  for  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma^*$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma^*\}$ . Thus the canonical “restriction” mapping  $R : c_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma^*)$  is clearly an isometry when restricted to  $T(X)$  and consequently  $\aleph_0 \text{ card } \Gamma^* \geq \text{dens } c_0(\Gamma^*) \geq \text{dens}(R \circ T(X)) = \text{dens } T(X) = \kappa$ . It implies that  $\kappa \geq \text{card } \mathcal{F} = \text{card } \Gamma^* \geq \kappa$ , because  $\kappa$  is uncountable, and we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$  be isomorphic to a subspace of  $X$ , where  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary infinite set. Then there is a linear continuous operator  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  such that  $\text{dens } T(X) = \text{card } \Gamma$ . In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is uncountable, there is a system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  with property (Z) and the same cardinality as  $\Gamma$ .*

PROOF: By Lemma 5, it suffices to prove the existence of  $T$  with the mentioned properties.

We consider an Abelian group of cardinality  $\text{card } \Gamma$  (e.g. the free Abelian group generated by  $\Gamma$ ) endowed with the discrete topology. We shall denote it also  $\Gamma$  further on.

In all what follows we mean by ‘linear’ the respective property of a space or a map related to the field of reals also when we are considering the spaces of complex-valued functions and operators among them.

We need several basic facts from the harmonic analysis which can be found in [17] and [11]. Let  $G$  be the dual group to  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the group of all characters of the group  $\Gamma$ . As  $\Gamma$  is discrete,  $G$  is compact and Abelian and so there is a Haar probability measure  $\nu$  on  $G$ .

Let  $F$  be the Fourier transform on  $\Gamma$ , i.e.  $F : f \mapsto \hat{f}$ . By [17, Theorem 1.2.4 (d)],  $F : \ell^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_0(G, \mathbb{C})$  is continuous. We denote by  $\tilde{F}$  the inverse Fourier transform to  $F$ , i.e.  $\tilde{F} : f \mapsto \check{f}$  (see [11, 3.1.2]). It follows from [17, Theorem 1.2.4 (d)] that  $\tilde{F} : L^1(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$  is continuous. Since  $C_0(G, \mathbb{C}) = C(G, \mathbb{C})$  embeds naturally to  $L^1(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$  as  $\nu$  is finite, we get by the inverse formula [11, 31.44 (b), p. 241] that  $\tilde{F} \circ F$  is the identity on  $\ell^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ .

We identify  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$  with its isomorphic copy in  $X$ . Let  $R : c_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  be the operator taking every complex-valued function from  $c_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$  to its real part.

We use without mentioning it explicitly the natural embeddings of  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$  to  $\ell^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ , of  $C(G, \mathbb{C})$  to  $L^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$ , and of  $L^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$  to  $L^1(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$ .

Since it is well known that  $L^\infty(G, \nu)$  is “injective” in the sense that every bounded linear map from a subspace  $X_0$  of a Banach space  $X$  into  $L^\infty(G, \nu)$  can be extended to a bounded linear map of  $X$  into  $L^\infty(G, \nu)$  (see [2, p. 223]), we can find a bounded linear operator  $L : X \rightarrow L^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$  which extends  $F$  by extending the real and imaginary parts of  $F : \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}, \nu)$  separately.

We put now  $T = R \circ \tilde{F} \circ L$ . Notice that  $T(\ell^1(\gamma)) = \ell^1(\gamma)$  because of the inversion formula mentioned above, i.e. due to the fact that  $\tilde{F} \circ F$  is the identity map on  $\ell^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that the density of  $T(X)$  in  $c_0(\Gamma)$  is the cardinality of  $\Gamma$ . It is not smaller because  $T(X)$  contains  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$  and it is not greater because the cardinality of  $\Gamma$  is infinite and so the density of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  equals the cardinality of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.*

(i) Suppose that an infinite set  $\mathcal{T}$  of nonzero continuous linear mappings  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  “having property  $(Z_0)$ ” is given, i.e.

$(Z_0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = 0$  for every injective sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{T}$  and every  $x \in X$ .

It is easy to see that there exists a system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  which has the property  $(Z_0)$  and  $\text{card } \mathcal{F} = \text{card } \mathcal{T}$ . In fact, we can choose for each  $F \in \mathcal{T}$  a  $g_F \in X^*$  such that  $\|g_F\| = 1$  and  $f_F = g_F \circ F \neq 0$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_F \mid F \in \mathcal{T}\}$  has property  $(Z_0)$ . Suppose that  $\text{card } \mathcal{F} < \text{card } \mathcal{T}$ . Then there necessarily exists an  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f = f_{F_n}$  for some injective sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{T}$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $|f(x)| = |g_{F_n}(F_n(x))| \leq \|F_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ . Consequently,  $f = 0$  which is a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose that there exists a projectional resolution of identity  $\{P_\alpha \mid \omega_0 \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$  on a Banach space  $X$  (cf. [3, p. 236]) such that the system of operators  $\mathcal{T}^* = \{P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha \mid \omega_0 \leq \alpha < \mu\}$  is uncountable. By [3, Lemma 1.2 (ii), p. 236],  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^* \setminus \{0\}$  “has the property  $(Z_0)$ ” and thus, by (i) and Remark 1, there exists a system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  with property  $(Z)$  such that  $\text{card } \mathcal{F} = \text{card } \mathcal{T}$ .

(iii) However, at present, we do not know any Banach space admitting a system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  with property  $(Z)$  to which we could apply the observation (ii) and no other sufficient condition noticed before.

### 3. The main result

We summarize our results concerning convex functions in the following statement.

**Theorem.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces,  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous linear and surjective, and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) *there is a fundamental system of uncountable cardinality  $\kappa$  on  $Y$ ;*
- (b)  *$\ell^1(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $Y$  and the uncountable cardinality of  $\Gamma$  is  $\kappa$ .*

*If  $D$  is a subset of some one-dimensional subspace  $R$  of  $X$  with cardinality at most  $\kappa$ , then there is a continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $R \setminus G(f) = D$ .*

*In particular, there is a continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $G(f)$  is not Borel. If moreover  $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{c}$ , then there is a continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $G(f)$  does not have the Baire property in the restricted sense.*

PROOF: Whenever  $Y$  fulfills (a) or (b), we deduce, from previous propositions, that there is a  $Z$ -system of cardinality  $\kappa$  in  $X^*$ . We may use Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 if (a) holds, and Proposition 5 and Proposition 2 if (b) is satisfied.

Let  $Z$  be a closed subspace of  $X$  complemented to  $R$ . We use Remark 4 and Lemma 3 to  $X = Z \oplus R$  to get a convex continuous function  $f$  on  $X$  with  $G(f) \cap R = R \setminus D$ .

To prove the other statements, we may choose any one-dimensional subspace  $R$  of  $X$  and any subset  $D$  of  $R$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  (hence at most  $\kappa$ ) which is not Borel. Indeed, if  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{c}$  we can choose any non-Borel set  $D \subset R$  and we may choose any set  $D \subset R$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  if  $\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{c}$  (since each uncountable Borel subset of  $R$  has cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ ). By the preceding we can find a continuous convex function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $G(f) \cap R = R \setminus D$  which is obviously not Borel.

If moreover  $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{c}$ , we choose any subset  $D$  of the  $R$  above such that  $D$ , and so also  $R \setminus D$ , does not have the Baire property in  $R$  (so  $R \setminus D$  does not have the Baire property in the restricted sense). Now  $\text{card } D \leq \kappa$  and we may find  $f$  as above.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* We note that Examples 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 describe great number of nonseparable spaces for which Theorem can be applied.

*Acknowledgment and remarks.* We express our gratitude to the referee who drew our attention to the fact that our result is already quoted in [4, p. 50] for the case of nonseparable Hilbert spaces and it is also mentioned in [9, p. 297] for the case of nonseparable WCG spaces.

He also pointed out that the papers [7] and [5] are related to our Section 2.

Indeed, one may check that our Proposition 5 can be proved as follows. Following the first part of the proof of Theorem 4 from [7], we get that there is some quotient space of  $X$  which is isomorphic to  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  and thus clearly has a fundamental system of cardinality  $\text{card } \Gamma$ . Now applying our Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 we get the system  $\mathcal{F} \subset X^*$  with property (Z) of cardinality  $\text{card } \Gamma$ . The mentioned part of the proof of Theorem 4 in [7] is not easy, it is based on [10, Proposition 2.2] and [16, Proposition 1.5].

Recall that Lemma 5 implies that the nonexistence of an (uncountable) system with property (Z) in  $X^*$  is equivalent to the fact that the image of  $X$  by any continuous linear map  $T$  of  $X$  to any  $c_0(\Gamma)$  is separable. Thus we may notice that our Proposition 4 gives a solution of the first part of the exercise from IV.3 in [5] and that any solution of it gives the nonexistence of a system with property (Z) in  $X^*$ , where  $X = C(K)$  is the space of continuous functions on the Kunen compact.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Argyros S., Mercourakis S., *On weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), 395–446.
- [2] Diestel J., *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1984.
- [3] Deville R., Godefroy G., Zizler V., *Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces*, Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, 1993.
- [4] Fabian M., *Gâteaux Differentiability of Convex Functions and Topology – Weak Asplund Spaces*, John Wiley and Sons, Interscience, 1997.
- [5] Finet C., Godefroy G., *Biorthogonal systems and big quotient spaces*, Contemporary Mathematics **85** (1989), 87–110.
- [6] Godun B.V., *Biorthogonal'nyje sistemy v prostranstvach ogranichennyh funkcij*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Ukrain. SSR, Ser. A, n. 3 (1983), 7–9.

- [7] Godun B.V., *On complete biorthogonal systems in a Banach space*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **17** (1) (1983), 1–7.
- [8] Godun B.V., Kadec M.I., *Banach spaces without complete minimal system*, Functional Anal. and Appl. **14** (1980), 301–302.
- [9] Habala P., Hájek P., Zizler V., *Introduction to Banach spaces II*, Lecture Notes, Matfyzpress, Prague, 1996.
- [10] Haydon R., *On Banach spaces which contain  $\ell^1(\tau)$  and types of measures on compact spaces*, Israel J. Math **28** (1997), 313–324.
- [11] Hewitt E., Ross K.A., *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol I (1963), Vol II (1970)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York.
- [12] Negrepontis S., *Banach spaces and Topology*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, Tokyo, 1984, pp. 1045–1142.
- [13] Phelps R.R., *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
- [14] Plichko A.N., *Banach space without a fundamental biorthogonal system*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **22** (1980), 450–453.
- [15] Rainwater J., *A class of null sets associated with convex functions on Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 315–322.
- [16] Rosenthal H.P., *On quasi-complemented subspaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from  $L^p(\mu)$  to  $L^r(\nu)$* , J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 176–214.
- [17] Rudin W., *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1967.
- [18] Talagrand M., *Deux exemples de fonctions convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A – 461 **288** (1979), 461–464.
- [19] Valdivia M., *Simultaneous resolutions of the identity operator in normed spaces*, Collect. Math. **42** (1991), 265–284.
- [20] Zajíček L., *A note on partial derivatives of convex functions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **24** (1983), 89–91.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received July 3, 1997)