

On blow-up and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions

THÉODORE K. BONI

Abstract. We obtain some sufficient conditions under which solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions tend to zero or blow up in a finite time. We also give the asymptotic behavior of solutions which tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we obtain the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of certain blow-up solutions and describe their blow-up set.

Keywords: blow-up, global existence, asymptotic behavior, maximum principle

Classification: 35K55, 35K60, 35B40

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} = Lu - a(x, t)f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = b(x, t)g(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_o(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x, t)u + d(x, t),$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \cos(\nu, x_i) a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j},$$

ν is the exterior normal unit vector on $\partial\Omega$. The coefficients $a_{ij}(x, t)$, $a_i(x, t)$, $c(x, t)$ and $d(x, t)$ are defined in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Moreover, a_{ij} satisfy the following inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha |\xi|^2$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with positive constant α , $a(x, t)$ is a nonnegative function in $\Omega \times (0, T)$, $b(x, t)$ is a nonnegative function on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$. Here $u_o(x) \in C^1(\Omega)$ is a positive function in Ω which satisfies the compatibility condition $\frac{\partial u_o}{\partial N} = b(x, 0)g(u_o)$ on $\partial\Omega$. For positive values of s , $\varphi(s)$, $f(s)$, $g(s)$ are positive and increasing functions. We want to determine when the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) are global, i.e. defined for every $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.1. We say that a solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time if there exists a finite time T_o such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_o} \|u(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

The time T_o is the blow-up time of the solution u . A point $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ is a blow-up point of the solution u if there exists a sequence (x_n, t_n) such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $t_n \uparrow T_o$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n, t_n) = \infty$. The set

$$E_B = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ such that } x \text{ is a blow-up point of the solution } u\}$$

is the blow-up set of the solution u .

The problem of blow-up of solutions to parabolic equations of second order with nonlinear boundary conditions has been the subject of investigation of many authors (see, for instance [1], [2], [3], [6] and others). In [3], Egorov and Kondratiev have considered the problem (1.1)–(1.3). They have given some conditions under which the solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) exist globally, tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or blow up in a finite time. In [1], we have described the asymptotic behavior of some solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) which tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the case where $\varphi(u) = u$, $f(u) = g(u)$, $a(x, t) = a(x)$ and $b(x, t) = b(x)$. An interesting question of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) is the localization of the blow-up set. This problem has been studied in [2] by Fila, Chipot and Quittner in the case where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^1$, $\varphi(u) = u$, $L = \Delta$, $a(x, t) = a = const$, $b(x, t) = 1$. In [1], we have generalized some results of [2] concerning the localization of blow-up set in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$.

In this paper, we generalize the results of [1] considering the problem of the form (1.1)–(1.3). We also describe the asymptotic behavior of some solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) which tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the case where $\varphi(u) \neq u$, $f(u) \neq g(u)$ and precise some results of Egorov and Kondratiev ([3]) in the case of blow-up solutions.

The paper is written in the following manner. In Section 2, some conditions of blow-up are given. In Section 3, we obtain some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 4, we give the asymptotic behavior of the solutions which tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 5, we obtain the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of certain blow-up solutions and finally in Section 6, we describe their blow-up set.

2. Blow-up solutions

In this section, we suppose that

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

We give some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time for any positive initial data.

The following lemma will be useful in the proofs of some theorems below.

Comparison lemma 2.1. *Let $u, v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \cap C^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} - Lu + a(x, t)f(u) &> \frac{\partial \varphi(v)}{\partial t} - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(u) &> \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &> v(x, 0) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$u(x, t) > v(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

PROOF: The function $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - v(x, t)$ is continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. Then its minimum value m is attained at a point $(x_o, t_o) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. Suppose that $u(x_o, t_o) \leq v(x_o, t_o)$. If $t_o = 0$, then $m > 0$ which is a contradiction. If $0 < t_o \leq T$, then there exists a t_1 such that $0 < t_1 \leq t_o$ with $u(x, t) > v(x, t)$ in $\Omega \times [0, t_1[$ but $u(x_1, t_1) = v(x_1, t_1)$ for some $x_1 \in \overline{\Omega}$.

If $x_1 \in \Omega$, then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi(u) - \varphi(v))}{\partial t}(x_1, t_1) \leq 0, Lw(x_1, t_1) \geq 0, f(u(x_1, t_1)) = f(v(x_1, t_1)),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi(u) - \varphi(v))}{\partial t}(x_1, t_1) - Lw(x_1, t_1) + a(x_1, t_1)[f(u(x_1, t_1)) - f(v(x_1, t_1))] \leq 0.$$

But, this contradicts the first inequality of the lemma. Finally if $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$, then $\frac{\partial w}{\partial N}(x_1, t_1) \leq 0$. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial N}(x_1, t_1) - b(x_1, t_1)[g(u(x_1, t_1)) - g(v(x_1, t_1))] \leq 0,$$

which contradicts the second inequality of the lemma. Therefore, we have $m > 0$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that for positive values of s , $\varphi(s)$ is positive, increasing, convex and $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$ is decreasing. Suppose also that $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} < +\infty$ and there exist $k \geq 0, T_* > 0$ such that*

$$f(s) \leq kg(s) \quad \text{for } s > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{T_*} [-k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for $u_o(x) > 0$.

PROOF: Let $(0, T)$ be the maximum time interval in which the solution u of (1.1)–(1.3) exists. Our aim in this proof is to show that T is finite. Since $u_o(x) > 0$ in Ω , from the maximum principle we have $u(x, t) > 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Put

$$(2.1) \quad v(x, t) = F(u(x, t)) = \int_u^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)}.$$

The function v is well defined because $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{g(s)} < \infty$. Moreover, for positive values of u , the function $F(u)$ is positive and decreasing. We have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)}Lv = -\frac{1}{g(u)}((\varphi(u))_t - Lu) + \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)} \right) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$

Since $\varphi(u)$ is increasing and $\frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)}$ is decreasing, from (1.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)}Lv - a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

From (1.2) and (2.1), we also have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} = -\frac{\varphi'(u)}{g(u)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = -b(x, t)\varphi'(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T).$$

Put

$$(2.5) \quad w(t) = \int_{\Omega} v(x, t) dx.$$

From (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad w'(t) = \int_{\Omega} v_t(x, t) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} Lv(x, t) + a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \right] dx.$$

Using Green's formula, (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad w'(t) \leq - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi''(u)\varphi'(u)}{(\varphi'(u))^2 g(u)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} dx.$$

Since by hypotheses $f(u) \leq kg(u)$ and $\varphi(u)$ is increasing and convex, from (2.7) it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad w'(t) \leq - \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx.$$

Integrating (2.8) over $(0, s)$, we deduce that

$$(2.9) \quad w(s) \leq w(0) + \int_0^s \left[- \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx \right] dt.$$

Since $v(x, t)$ is nonnegative and defined in $\Omega \times (0, T)$, then in virtue of (2.5), $w(t)$ is also nonnegative and defined for every $t \in (0, T)$. This implies that $T \leq T_* < \infty$. In fact, if $T_* < T$ then by hypothesis, we have

$$w(T_*) \leq \int_0^{T_*} \left[- \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x + k \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx \right] dt + w(0) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore u blows up in a finite time, which yields the result. □

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $f(u) = 0$, $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(z)}{g(z)} dz < +\infty$ and for positive values of s , $\varphi(s)$ is positive, increasing, convex and $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$ is decreasing. Suppose also that there exists $T_* > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{T_*} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dx dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for $u_o(x) > 0$.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $\int^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(z)}{g(z)} dz < +\infty$ and for positive values of s , $f(s) = g(s)$, $\varphi(s)$ is positive, increasing, convex and $\frac{\varphi'(s)}{g(s)}$ is decreasing. Suppose also that there exists $T_* > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_*} \left[- \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x \right] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for $u_o(x) > 0$.

Corollary 2.5. Suppose that $\varphi(u) = u^m$, $f(u) = u^p$, $g(u) = u^q + u^s$ where $q \geq p \geq s \geq m - 1$ and $q > m \geq 1$. Suppose also that there exists $T_* > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_*} \left[- \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) dS_x \right] dt > \int_{\Omega} \int_{u_o(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi'(s) ds}{g(s)} dx.$$

Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time for $u_o(x) > 0$. If $a(x, t) = a(x) \geq 0$, $b(x, t) = b(x) > 0$, then the last hypothesis is satisfied when

$$- \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) dS_x > 0.$$

3. Global solutions

In this section, we give some conditions under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) exist globally and tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o < \infty$, $0 < a(x, t) \leq a_o < \infty$, $c(x, t) \leq 0$, $d(x, t) \leq 0$, $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$ where β is a positive constant. Suppose also that there exist a function $\psi(x) > 0$ and positive constants A, B such that

$$\begin{aligned} -L_1\psi &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, t) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \geq -a(x, t) + A, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} &\geq \varepsilon_g^{(f)} b(x, t) + B, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$. Finally suppose that for positive values of s , the function $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi(s)}$ is positive, increasing, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_g^{(f)} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ and $\int_0 \frac{\varphi'(z) dz}{f(z)} = \infty$. Then there exists a positive function

$v(x, t)$ continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty[$ and tending to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that, if u is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), the inequality $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_0)$ ($t_0 \geq 0$) implies that $u(x, t) < v(x, t + t_0)$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0.$$

Remark 3.2. We have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \varepsilon_g^{(f)} - \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \right\} = 0.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1: Put $v(x, t) = \alpha(t) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))$ with

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) = -\lambda f(\alpha(t)), \quad \alpha(0) = 1,$$

where $\lambda = A - \delta$ and $\delta < A$ is a positive constant. Since $\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = +\infty$, then the function $\alpha(t)$ is defined for $0 \leq t < \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(t) = 0$. In fact $\alpha(t)$ satisfies the following relation:

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\alpha(t)}^1 \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{f(s)} = \lambda t.$$

Suppose that there is a finite time T such that $\alpha(T) = 0$. But this contradicts (3.2), because $\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi'(s)ds}{f(s)} = \infty$. Therefore, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(t) = 0$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) = \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) \\ & + \varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)f'(\alpha(t))\psi(x) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))\varphi''(z)\alpha'(t) \\ & + \psi^2(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)\varphi''(z) \\ & - f(\alpha(t))L_1\psi(x) - c(x, t)v - d(x, t) + a(x, t)f(\alpha(t)) + a(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(y), \\ & \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) = \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)g(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))g'(\tilde{y}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{y, \tilde{y}, z\} \in [\alpha(t), \alpha(t) + \psi(x)f(\alpha(t))]$. Since $\alpha'(s) = -\lambda \frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$ is a decreasing function, $c(x, t) \leq 0$, $d(x, t) \leq 0$ and $\psi > 0$ satisfies the following inequalities

$$-\lambda - L_1\psi \geq -a(x, t) + \delta, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} \geq \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x, t) + B,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) \geq \delta f(\alpha(t)) \\
 & - \lambda f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\psi(x) - \lambda\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))|\varphi''(z)|\frac{f(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \\
 & - \lambda\psi^2(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(\alpha(t))\frac{f(z)}{\varphi'(z)}|\varphi''(z)| + a(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))f'(y), \\
 & \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) \geq (B + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x, t))f(\alpha(t)) - b(x, t)g(\alpha(t)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad - b(x, t)\psi(x)f(\alpha(t))g'(\tilde{y}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$, by Remark 3.2 there exists $t_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad (\varphi(v))_t - Lv + a(x, t)f(v) > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (t_1, \infty),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - b(x, t)g(v) > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times (t_1, \infty).$$

Then if $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_1)$, by Comparison lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0$$

because $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(x, t) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that $Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + c(x, t)u + d(x, t)$, $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$ where β is a positive constant. Suppose also that for positive values of s , the function $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$ is positive, increasing, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = \infty$. Finally suppose that $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o(x)$, $0 < a_o(x) \leq a(x, t)$, $c(x, t) \leq 0$, $d(x, t) \leq 0$, $-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx > 0$, where $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$. Then there exists a positive function $v(x, t)$ continuous in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty[$ and tending to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that, if u is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), the inequality $u(x, 0) < v(x, t_o)$ ($t_o \geq 0$) implies that $u(x, t) < v(x, t + t_o)$ and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 0.$$

PROOF: Let ψ be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-\lambda - L_1\psi = \delta - a_o(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b_o(x) + \delta,$$

where $L_1\psi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j})$. Taking

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2(|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|)} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx]$$

and putting

$$\delta = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx] - \lambda,$$

we see that the function ψ exists and $\delta > 0$. Take $A = \lambda + \delta$, $B = \delta$. Then all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, which yields the result. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that $Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + c(x, t)u + d(x, t)$, $0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_o(x)$, $0 < a_o(x) \leq a(x, t)$, $c(x, t) \leq 0$, $d(x, t) \leq 0$. Suppose also that $\varphi(u) = u^m$, $f(u) = u^p$, $g(u) = u^q$, $-\varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b_o(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a_o(x) dx > 0$ with $q \geq p > 1$, $p \geq m > 0$ where $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 0$ if $q > p$ and $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 1$ if $q = p$. Then if u is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), there exists a positive constant b such that the solution u tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ for $u_o(x) \leq b$.*

4. Asymptotic behavior of solutions which tend to zero

In Section 3, we have shown that under some conditions, the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. In this section, we describe the asymptotic behavior of these solutions in the case where $a(x, t) = a(x)$, $b(x, t) = b(x)$ and

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial t} - Lu + a(x)f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - b(x)g(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(4.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_o(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

We are dealing with the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solutions for the problem (4.1)–(4.3).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} \in \{0, \beta\}$ where β is a positive constant and for positive values of s , the function $\frac{f(s)}{\varphi'(s)}$ is positive, increasing, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi'(z)dz}{f(z)} = \infty$. Suppose also that*

$-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx > 0$, where $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = 0$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_g^{(f)} = \beta$ if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{f(s)} = \beta$. Then there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that, if u is a solution of the problem (4.1)–(4.3), we have

(i)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ for $u_o(x) \leq b$.

(ii) Moreover, if there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf(H(s))}{\varphi'(H(s))H(s)} \leq c_1,$$

we also have

$$u(x, t) = \alpha(t)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $H(s)$ is the inverse function of $G(s) = \int_s^1 \frac{\varphi'(\sigma)d\sigma}{f(\sigma)}$ and

$$\varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t) = -c_{ab}f(\alpha(t)), \quad \alpha(0) = 1,$$

with $c_{ab} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} [\int_{\Omega} a(x) dx - \varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds]$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1(i) is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.3, but that of Theorem 4.1(ii) is based on the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exist $\tau > 0$ and $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1)),$$

where $\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) = -(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)), \quad \alpha_1^\varepsilon(0) = 1,$$

and $\psi_1(x)$ is a certain function.

PROOF: Put $v_1(x, t) = \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))$, where ψ_1 will be indicated later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) = \varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ & + \varphi'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t)f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\varphi''(z_1)(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ & \quad + \psi_1^2(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_1^\varepsilon)'(t)\varphi''(z_1) \\ & - f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))L\psi_1(x) + a(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) + a(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_1), \\ \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) & = \frac{\partial \psi_1(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_1), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{y_1, \tilde{y}_1, z_1\} \in [\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t), \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))]$. Let ψ_1 be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - L\psi_1 = \delta - a(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)} b(x) + \delta.$$

ψ_1 exists if and only if

$$\delta = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} (c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

If $\varepsilon = 0$ then $\delta = 0$. Put

$$\delta(r) = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} [-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|} (c_{ab} - r).$$

We have $\delta'(0) > 0$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, it follows that $\delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) > 0$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) \geq \delta f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) \\ &-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) - (c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))|\varphi''(z_1)|\frac{f(z_1)}{\varphi'(z_1)} \\ &-(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_1^2(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))\frac{f(z_1)}{\varphi'(z_1)}|\varphi''(z_1)| + a(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_1), \\ &\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) = (\delta + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x))f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$, by Remark 3.2 there exists $t_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_1))_t - Lv_1 + a(x)f(v_1) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (t_1, \infty), \\ &\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_1) > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (t_1, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$u(x, \tau) < v_1(x, t_1) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By Comparison lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq v_1(x, t + t_1) = \alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1) + \psi_1(x)f(\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t + t_1)),$$

which yields the result. □

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists $t_2 > 0$ such that:*

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2)),$$

where $\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) = -(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)), \quad \alpha_2^\varepsilon(0) = 1,$$

and $\psi_2(x)$ is a certain function.

PROOF: Put $v_2(x, t) = \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))$, where ψ_2 will be indicated later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) = \varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &+ \varphi'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t)f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\psi_2(x) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\varphi''(z_2)(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t) \\ &\quad + \psi_2^2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))(\alpha_2^\varepsilon)'(t)\varphi''(z_2) \\ &\quad - f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))L\psi_2(x) + a(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) + a(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_2), \\ &\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) = \frac{\partial \psi_2(x)}{\partial N}f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_2), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{y_2, \tilde{y}_2, z_2\} \in [\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t), \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))]$. Let ψ_2 be a positive solution of the following problem:

$$-(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - L\psi_2 = \mu - a(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial N} = \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x) + \mu.$$

ψ_2 exists if and only if

$$\mu = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}[-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Put

$$\mu(r) = \frac{1}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}[-\varepsilon_g^{(f)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds + \int_{\Omega} a(x) dx] - \frac{|\Omega|}{|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|}(c_{ab} + r).$$

Since $\mu(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \delta(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and $\delta'(0) > 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, it follows that $\mu(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) < 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) \leq \mu f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) \\ &- (c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\psi_1(x) + (c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))|\varphi''(z_2)|\frac{f(z_2)}{\varphi'(z_2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+(c_{ab} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\psi_2^2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))\frac{f(z_2)}{\varphi'(z_2)}|\varphi''(z_2)| + a(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))f'(y_2), \\
 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) &\leq (\mu + \varepsilon_g^{(f)}b(x))f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)g(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)) - b(x)\psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t))g'(\tilde{y}_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(0) = g'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi''(s)f(s)}{\varphi'(s)} = 0$, by Remark 3.2 there exists $t_* \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi(v_2))_t - Lv_2 + a(x)f(v_2) &< 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (t_*, \infty), \\
 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial N} - b(x)g(v_2) &< 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (t_*, \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_2(x, t) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, there exists $t_2 > t_*$ such that

$$u(x, \tau) > v_2(x, t_2) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By Comparison lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq v_2(x, t + t_2) = \alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2) + \psi_2(x)f(\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t + t_2)),$$

which gives the result. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1(ii): For any $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma + t)}{\alpha(t)} = 1.$$

In fact, since $\alpha(t)$ is decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$\alpha(t) - \gamma c_{ab} \frac{f(\alpha(t))}{\varphi'(\alpha(t))} \leq \alpha(t + \gamma) \leq \alpha(t).$$

Moreover, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\alpha(t))}{\varphi'(\alpha(t))\alpha(t)} = 0$, we deduce that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma + t)}{\alpha(t)} = 1$. On the other hand, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad 1 - \frac{c_1\varepsilon}{2c_{ab}} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1.$$

In fact

$$1 \geq \frac{\alpha_2^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} = \frac{H(c_{ab}t + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}t)}{H(c_{ab}t)} \geq \frac{H(c_{ab}t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}t \frac{f(H(c_{ab}t))}{\varphi'(H(c_{ab}t))}}{H(c_{ab}t)}.$$

Since $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf(H(s))}{\varphi'(H(s))H(s)} \leq c_1$, we obtain the result. We also have

$$(4.6) \quad 1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1 + \frac{2c_1\varepsilon}{c_{ab}}.$$

In fact

$$1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^\varepsilon(t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 \varepsilon}{2(c_{ab} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} \leq 1 + \frac{2c_1 \varepsilon}{c_{ab}}.$$

Then from (4.4)–(4.6), Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough

$$1 - k_1 \varepsilon \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1 + k_2 \varepsilon$$

where k_1 and k_2 are two positive constants. Consequently,

$$u(x, t) = \alpha(t)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which gives the result. □

Remark 4.4. Let $\varphi(u) = u^m$, $f(u) = u^p$, $g(u) = u^q$ with $p \geq m > 0$, $q \geq p > 1$. Suppose also that $\int_\Omega a(x) dx - \varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds > 0$ where $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 0$ if $q > p$ and $\varepsilon_q^{(p)} = 1$ if $q = p$. Then there exists a positive constant b such that, if u is a solution of the problem (4.1)–(4.3), u tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ for $u_o(x) \leq b$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{t^{-\frac{1}{p-m}}} = \left(\frac{p-m}{m|\Omega|} \left[\int_\Omega a(x) dx - \varepsilon_q^{(p)} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) ds \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{m-p}}.$$

5. Asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time

In this section, we give another condition under which the solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time in the case where

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}.$$

We also give the asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time of these solutions.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $a_t(x, t) \leq 0$, $b_t(x, t) \geq 0$. Suppose also that there exists a function $F(s)$ such that $\int^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} < \infty$ and for positive values of s , $F(s)$ is positive, increasing, convex satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} -f'(s)F(s) + F'(s)f(s) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0, \\ -F'(s)g(s) + F(s)g'(s) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, suppose that $Lu_o(x) + a(x, 0)f(u_o(x)) > 0$ and for positive values of s , $\varphi(s)$ is concave. Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a

finite time T and there exists a positive constant δ such that the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq H(\delta(T - t)),$$

where $H(s)$ is the inverse function of $G(s) = \int_s^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{F(\sigma)}$.

PROOF: Let $(0, T)$ be the maximum time interval in which the solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) exists. Our aim is to show that T is finite and the above estimate holds. Since $u_o(x) > 0$ in Ω , from the maximum principle, we have $u(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $w = u_t$. Since $w(x, 0) = Lu_o(x) - a(x, 0)f(u_o(x)) > 0$, $a_t(x, t) \leq 0$, $b_t(x, t) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad (\varphi'(u)w)_t - Lw \geq -a(x, t)f'(u)w \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial N} \geq b(x, t)g'(u)w \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.3) \quad w(x, 0) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

From the maximum principle, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad u_t(x, t) \geq c \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T)$$

for $\varepsilon_o > 0$. Consider the following function:

$$(5.5) \quad J(x, t) = u_t - \delta F(u),$$

where $\delta > 0$ small enough will be indicated later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi'(u)J)_t - LJ \\ &= ((\varphi(u))_t - Lu)_t - \delta F'(u)((\varphi(u))_t - Lu) \\ &+ \delta F''(u) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} - \delta \varphi''(u)F(u)u_t \\ (5.6) \quad &= -a(x, t)f'(u)J - a_t(x, t)f(u) + \delta a(x, t)[F'(u)f(u) - F(u)f'(u)] \\ &+ \delta F''(u) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} - \delta \varphi''(u)F(u)u_t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial N} = b_t(x, t)g(u) + b(x, t)g'(u)J + \delta b(x, t)[g'(u)F(u) - F'(u)g(u)].$$

Since $a_t \leq 0$, $b_t \geq 0$, $u_t \geq 0$ and for positive values of u , $F''(u)$, $-\varphi''(u)$, $-f'(u)F(u) + F'(u)f(u)$ and $g'(u)F(u) - F'(u)g(u)$ are nonnegative by hypotheses, we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad (\varphi'(u)J)_t - LJ + a(x, t)f'(u)J \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial N} \geq b(x, t)g'(u)J \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T).$$

From (5.4) and (5.5), take δ so small that

$$(5.9) \quad J(x, \varepsilon_o) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, from the maximum principle, we deduce that

$$(5.10) \quad u_t \geq \delta F(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T),$$

that is

$$(5.11) \quad -(G(u))_t = \frac{u_t}{F(u)} \geq \delta.$$

Integrating (5.11) over (ε_o, T) we have

$$(5.12) \quad G(u(x, \varepsilon_o)) \geq G(u(x, \varepsilon_o)) - G(u(x, T)) \geq \delta(T - \varepsilon_o).$$

Therefore T is finite and u blows up in a finite time. Integrating again (5.11) over (t, T) , we see that

$$(5.13) \quad G(u(x, t)) \geq G(u(x, t)) - G(u(x, T)) \geq \delta(T - t).$$

Since the inverse function H of G is decreasing, from (5.13) we obtain

$$u(x, t) \leq H[\delta(T - t)],$$

which yields the result. □

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that $a_t \leq 0, b_t \geq 0, \varphi(u) = u^m, f(u) = u^p, g(u) = u^q, Lu_o - a(x, 0)u_o^p > 0$ where $q > 1 \geq m > 0, q \geq p > 0$. Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time T and there exists a positive constant c_2 such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq \frac{c_2}{(T - t)^{\frac{1}{q-m}}}.$$

Remark 5.3. The argument in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is a classical one. It was introduced in [4] and later used and modified by many authors. Unfortunately, this method does not yield optimal results if blow-up occurs on the boundary. More precisely, it is known that Corollary 5.2 is not sharp if $m = 1$. In this case, the blow-up rate is

$$(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{2(q-1)}},$$

see [6].

6. Blow-up set

In this section, we describe the blow-up set of some blow-up solutions for the problem (1.1)–(1.3). More precisely, we show that under some conditions, certain solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blow up in a finite time and their blow-up set is on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of the domain Ω .

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Suppose also that there are positive constants C_o, c_o such that*

$$\varphi'(s) \geq c_o \quad \text{for } s > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad sF'(H(s)) \leq C_o \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time T and $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$, where E_B is the blow-up set of the solution u .

Remark 6.2. If $F(s) = s^q$ with $q > 1$, then we may take $C_o = \frac{q}{q-1}$.

PROOF: By Theorem 5.1, we know that u blows up in a finite time T . Thus our aim in this proof is to show that $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$. Let $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $v(x) = d^2(x)$ for $x \in N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)$ where

$$N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega) = \{x \in \Omega \quad \text{such that} \quad d(x) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^2 , then the function $v(x) \in C^2(\overline{N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)})$ if ε is sufficiently small. On $\partial\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)d_{x_i}d_{x_j} + 2d \sum_{i,j=1}^n d_{x_ix_j} + 2d \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)d_{x_i} - 4C_o \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)d_{x_i}d_{x_j} \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ii}(x)| - 2d \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i(x)| - 4C_o\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_2 \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 - 2C_1 - 2d' C_2 - 4C_o\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $d' = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}, y \in \overline{\Omega}} \|x - y\|$. Therefore, there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$(6.1) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -C_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

Since $v \in C^2(\overline{N_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)})$ for ε sufficiently small, let ε_o be so small that

$$(6.2) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -2C_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \overline{N_{\varepsilon_o}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We extend v to a function of class $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $v \geq C_o^* > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega - N_{\varepsilon_o}(\partial\Omega)}$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$(6.3) \quad Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} \geq -C^* \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}$$

for some $C^* > 0$. Multiplying (6.3) by ϵ small enough, we may assume without loss of generality that $C^* < 1$. Put $w_*(x, t) = C_1H(\tau)$ where $\tau = \delta(v(x) + \frac{C_o^*}{C_o}(T - t))$ and $C_1 > 1$ is a constant which will be indicated later. We get

$$(6.4) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq -\delta C_1 H'(\tau)[C^* + Lv + \delta \frac{H''(\tau)}{H'(\tau)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Since $H(s)$ is the inverse function of $G(s)$, we have $H'(s) = -F(H(s))$ and $H''(s) = -H'(s)F'(H(s))$. Consequently,

$$(6.5) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq \delta C_1 F(H(s))[C^* + Lv - \delta F'(H(\tau)) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Since $sF'(H(s)) \leq C_o$ for $s > 0$, using the fact that $F'(H(s))$ is a decreasing function (F' is increasing and H is decreasing), we have

$$(6.6) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* \geq \delta C_1 F(H(\tau))[C^* + Lv - \frac{C_o}{v} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j}].$$

Therefore from (6.3), we deduce that

$$(6.7) \quad (\varphi(w_*))_t - Lw_* + a(x, t)f(w_*) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

On $\partial\Omega$, we have $w_*(x, t) = C_1H(\delta C^*(T - t)) > H(\delta(T - t))$ because $C_1 > 1$ and $C^* < 1$. Then by Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(6.8) \quad w_*(x, t) > u(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

Choose C_1 large enough that

$$(6.9) \quad w_*(x, \varepsilon_o) = C_1H(\delta(v(x) + C^*(T - \varepsilon_o))) > u(x, \varepsilon_o).$$

Consequently, from the maximum principle we deduce that

$$u(x, t) < w_*(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (\varepsilon_o, T).$$

Then if $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ we have

$$u(x, t) \leq C_1H(\delta(v(x) + C^*(T - t))) \leq C_1H(\delta v(x)).$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega', t \in [\varepsilon_o, T]} u(x, t) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega'} C_1H(\delta v(x)) < \infty,$$

which yields the result. □

Corollary 6.2. *Suppose that $a_t \leq 0$, $b_t \geq 0$, $\varphi(u) = au + bu^m$, $f(u) = u^p$, $g(u) = u^q$, $Lu_o - a(x, 0)u_o^p > 0$ where $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$, $q > 1 \geq m > 0$, $q \geq p > 0$. Then any solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up in a finite time and $E_B \subset \partial\Omega$ where E_B is the blow-up set of the solution u .*

REFERENCES

- [1] Boni T.K., *Sur l'explosion et le comportement asymptotique de la solution d'une équation parabolique semi-linéaire du second ordre*, C.R. Acad. Paris, t. 326, Série I, **1** (1998), 317–322.
- [2] Chipot M., Fila M., Quittner P., *Stationary solutions, blow-up and convergence to stationary solutions for semilinear parabolic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LX, **1** (1991), 35–103.
- [3] Egorov Yu.V., Kondratiev V.A., *On blow-up solutions for parabolic equations of second order*, in 'Differential Equations, Asymptotic Analysis and Mathematical Physics', Berlin, Academie Verlag, 1997, pp.77–84.
- [4] Friedman A., McLeod B., *Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 425–447.
- [5] Protter M.H., Weinberger H.F., *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967.
- [6] Rossi J.D., *The blow-up rate for a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LXVII, **2** (1998), 343–350.
- [7] Walter W., *Differential-und Integral-Ungleichungen*, Springer, Berlin, 1964.

UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, UFR-MIG, MIP, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

E-mail: boni@mip.ups-tlse.fr

(Received July 23, 1998, revised November 24, 1998)