Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 41,2 (2000)237–244 

# Possible orders of nonassociative Moufang loops

Orin Chein, Andrew Rajah

*Abstract.* The paper surveys the known results concerning the question: "For what values of $n$ does there exist a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $n$?"

Proofs of the newest results for $n$ odd, and a complete resolution of the case $n$ even are also presented.

*Keywords:* Moufang loop, order, nonassociative

*Classification:* Primary 20N05

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The question above and the equivalent question, "For what integers, $n$, must every Moufang loop of order $n$ be associative?" have long been of interest.

Since Artin observed that the loop of units of any alternative ring is a Moufang loop ([22]), examples of finite nonassociative Moufang loops were known right from the start. For example, the non-zero Cayley numbers form a Moufang loop under multiplication, and the subloop consisting of

$$\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm e, \pm ie, \pm je, \pm ke\}$$

is a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $2^4 = 16$.

The simplest result on nonexistence may be found in [7], where it is shown that every Moufang loop of prime order must be a group. In [4], the first author extended this result to show that Moufang loops of order $p^2$, $p^3$, $p$ prime, must be associative. Since there are nonassociative Moufang loops of order $2^4$ [see above] and $3^4$ ([1] or [2]), it would seem that no extension of the results above is possible. However, in [8], Leong showed that a Moufang loop of order $p^4$, with $p > 3$, must be a group. This is the best one can do, because Wright showed in [21] that there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $p^5$, for any prime $p$.

If one allows more than one prime, the first author showed that Moufang loops of order $pq$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes, must be associative ([4]). M. Purtill [16] extended the result to Moufang loops of orders $pqr$, and $p^2q$, ($p$, $q$ and $r$ distinct odd primes), although the proof of the latter result has a flaw in the case $q < p$; see [17]. Then Leong and his students produced a spate of papers, [14], [15], [9], [10], [11], culminating in [12], in which Leong and the second author showed the following:

Research of the first author was supported in part by a summer research award and a grant-in-aid of research from Temple University.

**1.1.** Any Moufang loop of order $p^\alpha q_1^{\alpha_1} \dots q_n^{\alpha_n}$, with $p < q_1 < \cdots < q_n$ odd primes and with $\alpha \leq 3$, $\alpha_i \leq 2$, is a group, and the same is true with $\alpha = 4$, provided that $p > 3$.

Finally, the second author, in his doctoral dissertation [18], showed the following:

**1.2.** For $p$ and $q$ any odd primes, there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $pq^3$ if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Since there exist nonassociative Moufang loops of order $3^4$ and of order $p^5$ for any prime $p$, and since the direct product of a nonassociative Moufang loop and a group is a nonassociative Moufang loop, the only remaining unresolved cases for $n$ odd are the following:

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} q^\beta r_1^{\gamma_1} \dots r_s^{\gamma_s},$$

where

$p_1 < \cdots < p_k < q < r_1 < \cdots < r_s$ are distinct odd primes; $k \geq 1$;
$\alpha_i \leq 4$ ($\alpha_1 \leq 3$ if $p_1 = 3$); $3 \leq \beta \leq 4$; $\gamma_i \leq 2$;
$q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$; and
$p_j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for all $i < j$ with $3 \leq \alpha_j \leq 4$.

For $n$ odd, we also have the following results which will be needed below:

**1.3** ([7])**.** If $L$ is a Moufang loop of odd order and if $K$ is a subloop of $L$, and $\pi$ is a set of primes which divide $|L|$, then

(a) $|K|$ divides $|L|$.
(b) If $K$ is a minimal normal subloop of $L$, then it is an elementary abelian group.
(c) $L$ contains a Hall $\pi$-subloop.

**1.4** ([12])**.** If $L$ is a nonassociative Moufang loop of odd order and if all of the proper quotient loops of $L$ are groups, then $L_a$, the subloop of $L$ generated by all associators, is a minimal normal subloop of $L$.

**1.5** ([9])**.** If $L$ is a Moufang loop of odd order and if every proper subloop of $L$ is a group and if there exists a minimal normal Sylow subloop in $L$, then $L$ is a group.

**1.6** ([11])**.** Let $L$ be a Moufang loop of odd order such that every proper subloop of $L$ is associative. Suppose that $K$ is a minimal normal subloop which contains $L_a$, and that $Q$ is a Hall subloop of $L$ such that $(|K|, |Q|) = 1$ and $Q \lhd KQ$. Then $L$ is a group.

For $n$ even, many cases are handled by a construction of the first author ([4]) which produces a nonassociative Moufang loop, $M(G, 2)$ of order $2m$ for any nonabelian group $G$ of order $m$. Thus, if there exists a nonabelian group of order $m$, then there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $n = 2m$. In particular, since the dihedral group $D_r$ is not abelian, we get a nonassociative

Moufang loop of order $4r$, for each $r \geq 3$. This leaves the case $n = 2m$, for $m$ odd and for which every group of order $m$ is abelian.

The following result ([14]) will also be needed below:

**1.7.** Any Moufang loop $L$ of order $2m$, with $m$ odd must contain a (normal) subloop of order $m$.

Finally, we can characterize those odd $m$ for which every group of order $m$ is abelian. (We would like to thank Anthony Hughes for suggesting this lemma and for his helpful advice regarding its proof.)

**Lemma 1.8.** *If $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, with $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ odd primes and $\alpha_i > 0$, for all $i$, then every group of order $m$ is abelian if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i) $\alpha_i \leq 2$, *for all* $i = 1, \dots, k$,
(ii) $p_j^{\alpha_j} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, *for any* $i$ *and* $j$.

Proof: Note that, since the direct product of a nonabelian group with any group is a nonabelian group, if there exists a nonabelian group of order $s$ and if $s \mid m$, then there exists a nonabelian group of order $m$. Since there exists a nonabelian group of order $p^3$ for any prime $p$, (i) is necessary. Similarly, since $\left|Aut(C_q)\right| = q-1$, and $\left|Aut(C_q \times C_q)\right| = \left(q^2-1\right)\left(q^2-q\right)$, there exists a nonabelian group of order $pq$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and one of order $pq^2$ if $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus (ii) is necessary.

To see that these conditions are sufficient, suppose that $G$ is a group of order $m$, with $m$ as above. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, let $P_j$ be a $p_j$-Sylow subgroup of $G$.

By condition (ii), $(m, p_j^{\alpha_j} - 1) = 1$.

Claim: $N_G(P_j) = C_G\left(P_j\right)$.

Suppose not. For $g \in N_G(P_j) - C_G\left(P_j\right)$, conjugation by $g$ induces a non-trivial automorphism $\theta$ of $P_j$. Since $P_j$ is an abelian group, $\theta^s$ is the identity mapping on $P_j$, whenever $g^s \in P_j$. In particular, since $|g| \mid m$, $\theta^m$ is the identity map. Hence, $|\theta| \mid m$. On the other hand, $|\theta| \mid \left|Aut(P_j)\right|$, so $|\theta| \mid \left(m, |Aut(P_j)|\right)$. If $\alpha_j = 1$, $\left|Aut(P_j)\right| = p_j - 1$. But $\left(m, p_j - 1\right) = 1$, so $|\theta| = 1$, contrary to assumption. Therefore, $\alpha_j = 2$. If $P_j$ is cyclic, $\left|Aut(P_j)\right| = p_j(p_j - 1)$; and if $P_j$ is elementary abelian, $\left|Aut(P_j)\right| = p_j(p_j^2 - 1)\left(p_j - 1\right)$. In either case, since $(m, p_j^{\alpha_j} - 1) = 1$ and $\left(p_j - 1\right) \mid \left(p_j^2 - 1\right)$, we also have $(m, (p_j^{\alpha_j} - 1)\left(p_j - 1\right)) = 1$. Therefore $\left(m, |Aut(P_j)|\right) = p_j$ and $|\theta| = p_j$. Hence $g^{p_j} \in C_G\left(P_j\right)$. Thus, $\frac{N_G(P_j)}{C_G(P_j)}$ is a $p_j$-group contained in $\frac{N_G(P_j)}{P_j}$. (Recall that $P_j$ is abelian, since $\alpha_j = 2$.) But then $p_j \mid \left|\frac{N_G(P_j)}{P_j}\right|$, and so $p_j^3 \mid \left|N_G\left(P_j\right)\right|$, contradicting the assumption that $p_j^3 \nmid |G|$. This establishes the claim.

Since $N_G(P_j) = C_G\left(P_j\right)$ for all $j$, by Burnside's Theorem ([20, p. 137]), each $P_j$ has a normal $p_j$-complement, which we denote by $N_j$.

$\left|\frac{G}{N_j}\right| = \left|P_j\right| = p_j^{\alpha_j}$, where $\alpha_j \leq 2$, so $\frac{G}{N_j}$ is abelian. Let $\varphi : G \to \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{G}{N_k}$ be defined by $g\varphi = (gN_1, gN_2, \ldots, gN_k)$. Clearly $\varphi$ is a homomorphism, and $\ker(\varphi) = \{g \mid gN_j = N_j,\ \text{for all } j\} = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k$.

Therefore, $\frac{G}{N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k} \cong G\varphi \subseteq \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{G}{N_k}$. But, for each $j$, $N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k \subseteq N_j \subseteq G$, so $|N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k| \mid |G| = m$, and yet, for each $j$, $|N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k| \mid \left|N_j\right|$, which is $p_j$-free. This implies that $\left|N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_k\right| = 1$. Thus $G \cong G\varphi \subseteq \frac{G}{N_1} \times \frac{G}{N_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{G}{N_k}$, which is abelian, as required. □

## 2. New results

We divide this section into two parts: $n$ odd, and $n = 2m$, $m$ odd.

### $n$ odd.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If $L$ is a Moufang loop of order $p_1p_2\ldots p_kq^3$, with $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$ and $q$ distinct odd primes, and if $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_1}$ and, for each $i > 1$, $q^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, then $L$ is a group.*

Proof: Suppose not. Let $k$ be the smallest positive integer for which there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $p_1p_2\ldots p_kq^3$, with $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$ and $q$ distinct odd primes, and with $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_1}$ and $q^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for each $i > 1$; and let $L$ be such a loop. By 1.2, $k \geq 2$.

Let $H$ be a proper subloop of $L$. By 1.3 (a), $|H| = p_{j_1}p_{j_2}\ldots p_{j_s}q^{\beta}$, where either $\beta < 3$, or $s < k$. If $\beta < 3$, then $H$ is a group by 1.1; and if $s < k$, then $H$ is a group by the minimality of $k$. Thus, every proper subloop of $L$ is a group. The same applies to any proper quotient loop of $L$. Therefore, by 1.4 and 1.3 (b), $L_a$ is a minimal normal subloop of $L$ and is an elementary abelian group. By 1.5, if $L$ is not a group, then $L_a$ cannot be a Sylow subloop of $L$, and so $|L_a| \neq q^3$, and $|L_a| \neq p_i$, for any $i$. But, by 1.3 (a), $|L_a|$ must divide $|L|$, so, since $L_a$ is an elementary abelian group, $|L_a| = q$ or $q^2$. Therefore, by 1.3 (c), $L$ contains a subgroup $X_j$ of order $p_j$. Let $n_k$ denote the number of $p_k$-Sylow subgroups of $L_aX_k$. By the Sylow theorems, $n_k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_k}$, so $(n_k, p_k) = 1$. Also $n_k$ divides $|L_aX_k|$. But, since $L_a \lhd L$, $|L_aX_k| = p_kq$ or $p_kq^2$, so, in either case, $n_k \mid q^2$. If $n_k \neq 1$, then $n_k = q$ or $q^2$ and so, in either case, $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_k}$, contrary to assumption. Therefore, $n_k = 1$, and so $X_k \lhd L_aX_k$. But $X_k$ is a Hall subloop of $L$, and $(|L_a|, |X_k|) = 1$. Therefore, by 1.6, $L$ is a group, contrary to assumption. The theorem now follows. □

This leaves us with the question: What happens if $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for some $i$? If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, then, by 1.2, there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $p_iq^3$. Thus, we may assume that, for all $i$, $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, but that

$q \equiv -1 \pmod{p_i}$, for some $i$. If there is only one such $i$, then, by reordering if necessary, we can assume that it is $p_1$, and we have a group, by Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we are left with the case $k \geq 2$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{p_1}$, and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{p_k}$ (with no assumption about the relationship between $q$ and $p_i$ for $1 < i < k$, other than $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$). The smallest such open case is $n = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 29^3$.

**$n = 2m$, $m$ odd.**

Suppose that $L$ is a Moufang loop of order $2m$, $m$ odd, and that $L$ contains a (normal) abelian subgroup $M$ of order $m$.

Let $u$ be an element of $L - M$. Then $L =< u, M >$, and every element of $L$ can be expressed in the form $mu^\alpha$, where $m \in M$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let $T_u$ denote the inner mapping of $L$ corresponding to conjugation by $u$. That is, for $x$ in $L$, $xT_u = u^{-1}xu$. Since $M$ is a normal subloop, $T_u$ maps $M$ to itself. Let $\theta$ be the restriction of $T_u$ to $M$. That is, for every $m$ in $M$, $m\theta = u^{-1}mu$, and $mu = u(m\theta)$. By diassociativity, $m^2\theta = u^{-1}m^2u = u^{-1}muu^{-1}mu = (m\theta)^2$. Also, since $u^2$ must be in $M$, and since $M$ is abelian, $u^2$ is in the center of $M$. Thus, $m\theta^2 = u^{-1}(u^{-1}mu)u = u^{-2}mu^2 = m$; so $\theta^2$ is the identity mapping and $\theta^{-1} = \theta$.

By Lemma 3.2 on page 117 of [3], $T_u$ is a semiautomorphism of $L$. That is, for $x, y$ in $L$, $(xyx)T_u = (xT_u)(yT_u)(xT_u)$. In particular, for $m_1, m_2$ in $M$, $(m_1m_2m_1)\theta = (m_1\theta)(m_2\theta)(m_1\theta)$. But $M$ is abelian, so $(m_1^2m_2)\theta = (m_1\theta)^2(m_2\theta) = (m_1^2\theta)(m_2\theta)$. Since $M$ is of odd order and since the order of an element of a finite Moufang loop must divide the order of the loop, every element of $M$ is of odd order and hence has a square root. (That is, if $|m| = 2k+1$, then $(m^{k+1})^2 = m$.) Thus, for any $m, m'$ in $M$, $(mm')\theta = [(m'')^2m']\theta = [(m'')^2\theta](m'\theta) = (m\theta)(m'\theta)$, where $m''$ is the square root of $m$. Thus $\theta$ is an automorphism of $M$.

For $m_1$ and $m_2$ in $M$, let $x = (m_1u)m_2$, let $y = m_1(m_2u)$, and let $z = (m_1u)(m_2u)$. Then, by the Moufang identities and the fact that $M$ is an abelian group, $xu = [(m_1u)m_2]u = m_1(um_2u) = m_1[u^2(m_2\theta)] = m_1[(m_2\theta)u^2] = [m_1(m_2\theta)]u^2$, so that

$$(m_1u)m_2 = x = [m_1(m_2\theta)]u.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} uy &= u[m_1(m_2u)] = u[m_1(u(m_2\theta))] = (um_1u)(m_2\theta) = [u^2(m_1\theta)](m_2\theta) \\ &= u^2[(m_1\theta)(m_2\theta)], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$m_1(m_2u) = y = u[(m_1\theta)(m_2\theta)] = [(m_1\theta)(m_2\theta)]\theta u.$$

Finally, $zu = [(m_1u)(m_2u)]u = m_1(um_2u^2) = m_1[u(m_2u^2)]$, so that

$$uzu = u\{m_1[u(m_2u^2)]\} = (um_1u)(m_2u^2) = [u^2(m_1\theta)](m_2u^2) = [(m_1\theta)m_2]u^4.$$

Thus, $(z\theta)u^2 = u^2(z\theta) = uzu = [(m_1\theta)m_2]u^4$, so $z\theta = [(m_1\theta)m_2]u^2$, and

$$(m_1u)(m_2u) = z = [(m_1\theta)m_2]\theta u^2.$$

As in [5], we can summarize these results as follows: For $0 \le \alpha,\ \beta \le 1$,

$$(m_1u^\alpha)(m_2u^\beta) = [(m_1\theta^\beta)(m_2\theta^{\alpha+\beta})]\theta^\beta \cdot u^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

But $\theta$ is an endomorphism of $M$, and $\theta^2$ is the identity, so

$$(m_1u^\alpha)(m_2u^\beta) = [(m_1\theta^\beta)(m_2\theta^{\alpha+\beta})]\theta^\beta u^{\alpha+\beta} = [(m_1\theta^{2\beta})(m_2\theta^{\alpha+2\beta})]u^{\alpha+\beta}$$
$$= [m_1(m_2\theta^\alpha)]u^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

But then, for any $m_1u^\alpha$, $m_2u^\beta$, $m_3u^\gamma$ in $L$,

$$[(m_1u^\alpha)(m_2u^\beta)](m_3u^\gamma) = \{[m_1(m_2\theta^\alpha)]u^{\alpha+\beta}\}(m_3u^\gamma)$$
$$= \{[m_1(m_2\theta^\alpha)]m_3\theta^{\alpha+\beta}\}u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma},$$

and

$$(m_1u^\alpha)[(m_2u^\beta)(m_3u^\gamma)] = (m_1u^\alpha)\{[m_2(m_3\theta^\beta)]u^{\beta+\gamma}\}$$
$$= \{m_1[m_2(m_3\theta^\beta)]\theta^\alpha\}u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \{m_1[(m_2\theta^\alpha)(m_3\theta^{\alpha+\beta})]\}u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$$
$$= \{[m_1(m_2\theta^\alpha)](m_3\theta^{\alpha+\beta})\}u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}.$$

Thus $L$ is associative.

We have proved the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Every Moufang loop $L$ of order $2m$, $m$ odd, which contains a (normal) abelian subgroup $M$ of order $m$ is a group.*

We can now settle the question of for which values of $n = 2m$ must every Moufang loop of order $n$ be a group.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Every Moufang loop of order $2m$ is associative if and only if every group of order $m$ is abelian.*

PROOF: We may assume that $m \ge 6$, since there are no nonabelian groups of order less than 6, and no nonassociative Moufang loops of order less than 12 ([6]).

If there exists a nonabelian group $G$ of order $m$, then the loop $M_n(G, 2)$ is a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $n = 2m$. As shown above, this takes care of all even values of $m$, since the dihedral group of order $m$ is not abelian.

Now consider $n = 2m$, $m$ odd, and suppose that every group of order $m$ is abelian. By 1.7, any Moufang loop $L$ of order $n$ must contain a normal subloop $M$ of order $m$. Since there exists a nonabelian group of order $p^3$, for any prime $p$, $m$ cannot be divisible by $p^3$ for any prime $p$. But then, $M$ must be associative, by 1.1. Furthermore, since all groups of order $m$ are abelian, $M$ is an abelian group. But then, by the theorem, $L$ is a group. □

Applying Lemma 1.8, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Every Moufang loop of order $2m$ is associative if and only if*

*$m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ are odd primes and where*

(i) *$\alpha_i \le 2$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$,*
(ii) *$p_j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, for any $i$ and $j$,*
(iii) *$p_j^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, for any $i$ and any $j$ with $\alpha_j = 2$.*

## 3. Some questions

We might wonder whether all of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are really necessary.

Clearly it is necessary that $M$ be abelian, since the $M(G,2)$ construction of [4] provides examples of nonassociative Moufang loops when $M$ is not abelian.

The proof of the theorem clearly uses the fact that $m$ is odd, but might there be a different proof which gives us the result for $m$ even as well? We thank E.G. Goodaire for noting that the loop $M_{32}(D_4 \times C_2, 2)$ provides a counterexample. This nonassociative Moufang loop contains an abelian normal subgroup of index two which is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

How about the fact that $M$ is of index two? In the proof of the theorem, we do not really need $u^2$ to be an element of $M$. All that is needed is that $u^2$ commutes with every element of $M$ and that it associates with every pair of elements of $M$. That is, what is needed is that $u^2$ is in the center of $< u^2, M >$. We could therefore prove the following:

**Corollary 3.1.** *If a Moufang loop $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of odd order $m$, such that $L/M$ is cyclic, and if $u^2 \in Z(< u^2, M >)$, for $uM$ some generator of $L/M$, then $L$ is a group.*

Can we dispose with the assumption that $u^2 \in Z(< u^2, M >)$? That is, if a Moufang loop $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of odd order $m$, such that $L/M$ is cyclic, must $L$ be a group?

The answer in general is no. When $q \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop $L$ of order $3q^3$, constructed in [18], which contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of order $q^3$, with $L/M \cong C_3$. (Note also that, in this example, $(|M|, |L/M|) = 1$, so that even this additional condition would not suffice to guarantee that $L$ is a group.) However, if $p > 3$, the subgroup of order $q^3$ in the nonassociative Moufang loop of order $pq^3$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod p$, is not abelian, so the question is still open for $|L/M| > 3$.

## References


[1] Bol G., *Gewebe und Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **114** (1937), 414–431.
[2] Bruck R.H., *Contributions to the theory of loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1946), 245–354. (MR 8, p. 134).
[3] Bruck R.H., *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 20, Springer Verlag, 1968. (MR 20 # 76).

[4] Chein O., *Moufang loops of small order. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **188** (1974), 31–51. (MR 48 # 8673).
[5] Chein O., *Moufang loops of small order*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **197**, Vol 13, Issue 1 (1978), 1–131. (MR 57 # 6271).
[6] Chein O., Pflugfelder H.O., *The smallest Moufang loop*, Archiv der Mathematik **22** (1971), 573–576. (MR 45 # 6966).
[7] Glauberman G., *On loops of odd order II*, J. Algebra **8** (1968), 393–414. (MR 36 # 5250).
[8] Leong F., *Moufang loops of order* $p^4$, Nanta Math. **7** (1974), 33–34. (MR 51 # 5826).
[9] Leong F., Rajah A., *On Moufang loops of odd order* $pq^2$, J. Algebra **176** (1995), 265–270. (MR 96i # 20082).
[10] Leong F., Rajah A., *Moufang loops of odd order* $p_1^2p_2^2\dots p_m^2$, J. Algebra **181** (1996), 876–883 (MR 97i # 20083).
[11] Leong F., Rajah A., *Moufang loops of odd order* $p^4q_1\dots q_n$, J. Algebra **184** (1996), 561–569. (MR 97k # 20118).
[12] Leong F., Rajah A., *Moufang loops of odd order* $p^\alpha q_1^2\dots q_n^2 r_1\dots r_m$, J. Algebra **190** (1997), 474–486. (MR 98b # 20115).
[13] Leong F., Teh P.E., *Moufang loops of orders* $2pq$, Bull. of the Malaysian Math. Soc. **15** (1992), 27–29. (MR 93j # 20142).
[14] Leong F., Teh P.E., *Moufang loops of even order*, J. Algebra **164** (1994), 409–414. (MR 95b # 20097).
[15] Leong F., Teh P.E., Lim V.K., *Moufang loops of odd order* $p^mq_1\dots q_n$, J. Algebra **168** (1994), 348–352. (MR 95g # 20068).
[16] Purtill M., *On Moufang loops of order the product of three primes*, J. Algebra **112** (1988), 122–128. (MR 89c # 20120).
[17] Purtill M., *Corrigendum*, J. Algebra **145** (1992), p. 262. (MR 92j # 20066).
[18] Rajah A., *Which Moufang loops are associative*, Doctoral Dissertation, University Sains Malaysia, 1996.
[19] Rajah A., Jamal E., *Moufang loops of order* $2m$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **55** (1999), 47–51.
[20] Scott W.R., *Group Theory*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964.
[21] Wright C.R.B., *Nilpotency conditions for finite loops*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 399–409. (MR 31 # 5918).
[22] Zorn M., *The theory of alternative rings*, Hamb. Abhandl. **8** (1930), 123–147.

Department of Mathematics, Temple University, 1805 North Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

School of Mathematical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia

(*Received* October 18, 1999)